# THE AGENCY'S ACCOUNTS FOR 2010



## THE AGENCY'S ACCOUNTS FOR 2010

Printed by the International Atomic Energy Agency July 2011



## Report by the Board of Governors

1. In accordance with Financial Regulation 11.03(b) [1], the Board of Governors hereby transmits to the Members of the Agency the report of the External Auditor on the Agency's accounts for 2010.
2. The Board has examined the report by the External Auditor and the introduction by the Director General to the accounts, and also the accounts themselves, and submits the following draft resolution for the consideration of the General Conference.
The General Conference,
Having regard to Financial Regulation 11.03(b),
<u>Takes note</u> of the report of the External Auditor on the Agency's accounts for the year 2010 and of the report of the Board of Governors thereon [*].
[*] GC(55)/4
[1] INFCIRC/8/Rev.2

### Fifty-fifth regular session

## The Agency's Accounts For 2010

#### Contents

			<u>Page</u>
	Table	of contents	iii
	Introd	uction and Financial Highlights	1
	the acc	nent of the Director General's responsibilities and confirmation of counts with the financial regulations of the International Atomic y Agency as at 31 December 2010	8
Part I	- Auc	dit opinion	11
		oort of the External Auditor on the audit of the accounts of the ernational Atomic Energy Agency for the year ended 31 December 2010	13
Part II	- Stat	rements	
	I	Statement of income and expenditure and changes in reserves and fund balances for the period ending 31 December 2010	47
	II	Statement of assets, liabilities, reserves and fund balances as at 31 December 2010	48
	III	Statement of cash flow for the period ending 31 December 2010	49
	IV	Statement of the Regular Budget appropriations for the period ending 31 December 2010	50
		IV.1 Statement of the operational portion of the Regular Budget for the period ending 31 December 2010	51
		IV.2 Statement of the capital portion of the Regular Budget for the period ending 31 December 2010	52
Part III	- Sch	edules	
	<b>S</b> 1	Regular Budget Fund - Status of contributions to the Regular Budget as at 31 December 2010	55
	S2	Status of the Working Capital Fund as at 31 December 2010	59
	S3	Status of advances to the Working Capital Fund as at 31 December 2010	60
	S4	Regular Budget Fund - Status of cash surpluses as at 31 December 2010	63
	S5	Regular Budget Fund - Status of cash surpluses withheld pending receipt of contributions as at 31 December 2010	64
	S6	The Regular Budget 2010 – Summary by item of expenditure as at 31 December 2010	65
	S7	Summary of expenditure by major category and Fund group as at 31 December 2010	66
	S8	Status of contributions to the Technical Cooperation Fund as at 31 December 2010	67
	S9	Technical Cooperation Programme –  a Status of assessed programme costs as at 31 December 2010	71

		b Status of national participation costs as at 31 December 2010	72
	S10	Current accounts at banks as at 31 December 2010	75
	S11	Deposit accounts at banks as at 31 December 2010	76
	S12	Triple-A Government Money Market Funds (MMF) and Treasury Bills as at 31 December 2010	77
	S13	Cash in hand, current and deposit accounts at banks and other cash equivalents by Fund group and Funds as at 31 December 2010	78
Part IV	- Note	es to the financial statements	79
Part V	- Ann	exes	
	A1	Regular Budget Fund - Estimated and actual resources for the year ended 31 December 2010	99
	A2	Technical Cooperation Fund - Estimated and actual resources in the year ended 31 December 2010	100
	A3	Resources made available to the Agency - a By Member States for 2010 including contributions in cash and in kind	101
		b By donors other than Member States for 2010 including contributions in cash and in kind	105
		c By major programme for 2010 including contributions in cash and in kind	106

## The Agency's Accounts for 2010

### A. Introduction and Financial Highlights

- 1. I present herewith the Agency's accounts for the year ended 31 December 2010. Part I contains the External Auditor's report to the Board of Governors on the audit of the accounts. Parts II and III contain the Agency's accounts, comprising Statements I to IV and Schedules S1 to S13, respectively. Part IV, entitled "Notes to the Financial Statements", describes the purpose and financing of the Funds and the authority under which they are administered, and the significant accounting policies applied by the Secretariat in preparing the statements and schedules. The notes offer additional information on significant items and events that could have a bearing on the financial position of the Agency, or on funds in its possession or under its control. The Annexes (Part V) present information that under the current United Nations system accounting standards no longer has the status of a financial statement, schedule or note, but is considered useful for Member States.
- 2. These accounts have been prepared in accordance with the United Nations System Accounting Standards (UNSAS). Starting from next year, the Agency plans to prepare its accounts on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the requirements of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS).

#### A.1. Significant changes

- 3. There are no significant changes in the presentation and format this year. The Statement of the Regular Budget Appropriations (Statement IV) has two parts: Statement IV.1 Statement of the Operational Portion of the Regular Budget and Statement IV.2 Statement of the Capital Portion of the Regular Budget.
- 4. The General Fund has new accounts under the Extrabudgetary Programme Fund (Fund Group III) as per attached Annex A.
- 5. The General Fund has new accounts under the Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Fund (Fund Group IV) as per attached Annex B.

#### A.2. Financial highlights

#### A.2.1. General

6. As of the end of 2010, the Agency's total cash and cash equivalent holdings in all Fund groups amounted to €289.7 million (2009: €266.3 million).

#### A.2.2. Fund Group I. Regular Budget Fund and Working Capital Fund

- 7. Appropriations originally approved in the amount of  $\mathfrak{S}18.3$  million for the operational portion of regular budget expenses and  $\mathfrak{S}0.1$  million for the capital portion at the rate of 1.0000 US dollars to the euro were recalculated at  $\mathfrak{S}03.5$  million and  $\mathfrak{S}0.1$  million using the average rate of exchange of 1.3248 US dollars, in accordance with Resolution GC(53)/RES/6.
- 8. Cash and cash equivalents for the Regular Budget Fund, including the Working Capital Fund (WCF), decreased from €5.9 million in 2009 to €5.7 million in 2010. Therefore the cash flow was

less satisfactory than in the previous year. Of the total cash, the amount of €23.7 million represents payments for 2011 made in advance by some Member States (€17.6 million in 2009 for 2010).

- 9. Assessed contributions have increased in comparison to the previous year. The current year's figure is €298.4 million as compared with last year's figure of €278.8 million. The main reason is the increase in the 2010 budget in comparison with the 2009 budget. The total unpaid assessed contributions at year end amounted to €37.3 million compared to €30.5 million at the end of 2009.
- 10. There was a small cash deficit for 2009 amounting to €0.3 million. This was primarily due to the insufficient receipt of prior years' outstanding contributions. This deficit has been temporarily covered by the Working Capital Fund.
- 11. The 2010 shortfall of income over expenditure of  $\bigcirc$ 2.2 million (2009:  $\bigcirc$ 4.5 million) consists of the following:

	Millions of Euro	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Unused balance of appropriations (Statement IV)	(0.3)	(0.5)
Surplus (deficit) of actual resources over adjusted estimates (Annex A1)	(1.9)	(1.3)
Contributions assessed on new Member States	0.0	<u>0.3</u>
Excess (shortfall) of income over expenditure (Statement I)	(2.2)	(1.5)

12. The shortfall of income over expenditure is, for the most part, due to the shortfall in miscellaneous income as a result of unusually low interest rate and a large amount of write off related to US income tax. Miscellaneous income received in 2010 is €3.3 million while the estimated budget amount was €4.7 million.

#### A.3. Fund Group II. General Fund - Technical Cooperation Fund

13. The Fund's resources decreased with total pledges amounting to \$78.5 million (2009: \$79.9 million) against a target of \$85.0 million (2009: \$85.0 million). Cash held was less than last year at \$63.7 (€48.5) million (2009: \$73.5 million). Approximately \$2.1 million (3.3%) of this cash is held in difficult to use currencies as compared with last year's figure of \$13.7 million (18.7%). This significant reduction is due to the Agency's successful efforts to reduce these holdings.

#### A.4. Other Fund groups

14. The cash resources of Fund groups III, IV and VI are shown in Statement II. Their resources are based on extrabudgetary contributions from Member States or Member State institutions received before the respective activities are undertaken, or funding agreements with United Nations or other international organizations and agreements with contributors. These resources have increased to €184.5 million from €149.5 million in the previous year. The main reason for the increase in cash resources in these Fund groups is the receipt of substantial funding amounts for some extrabudgetary projects towards the end of 2010, disbursement of which will happen in future years.

(signed) YUKIYA AMANO Director General

#### ANNEX A

#### New Accounts under the Extrabudgetary Programme Fund (Fund Group III)

- Hungary for a contribution in euro to examine whether follow-up remediation actions at the
  former uranium mining sites near the town of Pecs are in compliance with the relevant Agency's
  safety standards, and whether further remediation actions are needed to ensure the protection of
  public and the environment in the long term.
- **Ireland** for a contribution in euro to support the modernization of the Agency's Laboratories in Seibersdorf.
- **Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM)** for a contribution in euro to support an EU-funded research project "Tsetse flies and control of African Sleeping Sickness".
- **Poland** for a contribution in euro to support the Agency's activities in the field of the International Project on Innovative Nuclear Reactors and Fuel Cycles (INPRO).
- Roche African Research Foundation for a contribution in United States dollars as per the Collaboration Agreement signed between the IAEA and F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd. to support the Programme of Action for Cancer Therapy (PACT) for the training and education of cancer control health workers in sub-Saharan Africa.
- **Slovak Republic** for a contribution in euro to support a cost free expert (CFE) in the Agency's Department of Nuclear Energy.

#### New Accounts under the Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Fund (Fund Group IV)

- **Argentina** for a contribution in United States dollars to support the activities under the Cooperation Agreement for the Promotion of Nuclear Science and Technology in Latin America and the Caribbean (ARCAL).
- Australia TC-NSF for funds transferred in United States dollars from the Nuclear Security Fund to the TC-NSF to support the TC project RAS/9/060 – Developing Human Resources in Nuclear Security.
- **Finland TC-NSF** for funds transferred in euro from the Nuclear Security Fund to the TC-NSF to support the TC project RER/9/102 Developing Human Resources in Nuclear Security.
- **Korea, Republic of TC-NSF** for funds transferred in United States dollars from the Nuclear Security Fund to the TC-NSF to support the TC project RER/3/006 Supporting of Repatriation, Management and Disposal of Fresh and/or Spent Nuclear Fuel from Research Reactors.
- **Sweden TC-NSF** for funds transferred in United States dollars from the Nuclear Security Fund to the TC project RER/3/006 Supporting of Repatriation, Management and Disposal of Fresh and/or Spent Nuclear Fuel from Research Reactors.
- Turkey for a contribution in United States dollars to support the activities of the Central Asian Member States (CAMS) under two TC projects: KAZ/6/009 – Establishing a Nuclear Medicine Department at the East Kazakhstan Oncology Centre No. 2, and TAD/6/005 – Implementing Laboratory Services for Tumour Markers.
- United States of America TC-PUI for a contribution in United States dollars to the Peaceful Use Initiative (PUI) to support IAEA efforts relating to nuclear power infrastructure development under various TC projects.
- United States of America for a contribution in United States dollars to support TC project RER/3/006 – Supporting of Repatriation, Management and Disposal of Fresh and/or Spent Nuclear Fuel from Research Reactors.

## STATEMENT OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL'S RESPONSIBILITIES AND CONFIRMATION OF THE ACCOUNTS WITH THE FINANCIAL REGULATIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

#### The Director General's responsibilities

The Director General is required by the Financial Regulations to maintain such accounting records as are necessary with due regard to the United Nations System Accounting Standards and to prepare annual accounts showing the income and expenditure of all the International Atomic Energy Agency's Funds during the financial year and their respective financial positions at the end of the year and the status of Regular Budget appropriations of the Agency. He is also required to give such other financial information as the Board may require or as he may deem necessary or useful.

To lay the foundations for the financial statements, the Director General is responsible for establishing detailed Financial Rules and procedures to ensure effective financial administration, the exercise of economy, and the effective custody of the Agency's assets. The Director General is also required to maintain an internal financial control which shall provide an effective examination of financial transactions to ensure: the regularity of the receipt, custody and disposal of all funds and other financial resources of the Agency; and the conformity of expenditures with the appropriations approved by the General Conference, the decisions of the Board on the use of funds for the Technical Cooperation Programme or other authority governing expenditures from extrabudgetary resources; and the economic use of the resources of the Agency.

#### **Confirmation of the Accounts with the Financial Regulations**

We hereby confirm that the following appended accounts, comprising Statements I to IV, Schedules S1 to S13 and supporting Notes, were properly prepared in accordance with Article XI of the Financial Regulations, with due regard to the United Nations System Accounting Standards.

(signed) YUKIYA AMANO Director General (signed) GARY A. EIDET Director, Division of Budget and Finance

## PART I

## Letter from the External Auditor to the Chairperson of the Board of Governors

The Chairperson of the Board of Governors International Atomic Energy Agency A-1400 VIENNA Austria

31 March 2011

Sir,

I have the honour to transmit the financial statements of the International Atomic Energy Agency for the year ended 31 December 2010 which were submitted to me by the Director General in accordance with Financial Regulation 11.03(a). I have audited these statements and have expressed my opinion thereon.

Further, in accordance with Financial Regulation 12.08, I have the honour to present my report on the Accounts of the Agency for the year ended 31 December 2010.

Accept Sir, the assurances of my highest consideration.

(signed) Norbert Hauser
Vice-President of the Federal Court of Audit
Germany
External Auditor

Bonn, 31st March 2011

## **AUDIT OPINION**

### CERTIFICATE OF THE EXTERNAL AUDITOR ON THE ACCOUNTS OF THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY FOR THE FINANCIAL PERIOD ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

#### To the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the International Atomic Energy Agency, which comprise the statement of assets, liabilities and reserves, and fund balances as at December 31, 2010, the statement of income and expenditure and changes in reserves and fund balances, the statement of cash flow, the statement of appropriations (Statements I to IV.2 and the Schedules S 1 to S 13 for the financial period then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with United Nations System Accounting Standards. This responsibility includes: designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in these circumstances.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing as adopted and expanded by the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements, and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence for the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In my opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the International Atomic Energy Agency as of 31 December 2010, its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with United Nations System Accounting Standards.

#### Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements.

Further, in my opinion, the transactions of the International Atomic Energy Agency that have come to my notice or which I have tested as part of my audit have, in all significant respects, been in accordance with the Financial Regulations and Rules.

In accordance with Article XII of the Financial Regulations, I have also issued a long-form Report on my audit of the International Atomic Energy Agency's financial statements containing additional information and comments on the financial statements and this opinion.

(signed) Norbert Hauser
Vice-President of the Federal Court of Audit
Germany
External Auditor

Bonn, 31 March 2011

## REPORT OF THE EXTERNAL AUDITOR ON THE AUDIT OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	_	E	(
	<b>A.</b>	Executive Summary	(paragraphs 1 - 54)
		A.1. Scope and Approach of the Audit	(paragraphs 1 - 9)
		A.2. Main Audit Findings and Recommendations	(paragraphs 10 - 54)
>	В.	<b>Analysis of the Financial Statements</b>	(paragraphs 55 - 71)
		B.1. General	(paragraph 55)
		B.2. Assets	(paragraphs 56 - 65)
		<b>B.3. Income and Expenditures</b>	(paragraphs 66 -67)
		<b>B.4. Voluntary Contributions</b>	(paragraphs 68 - 71)
>	C.	<b>Detailed Findings for 2010</b>	(paragraphs 72 - 174)
		C.1. Financial Issues	(paragraphs 72 - 80)
		C.2. Budgetary Issues	(paragraphs 81 - 86)
		C.3. Administrative Issues	(paragraphs 87 - 109)
		C.4. Nuclear Security - Border Monitoring	(paragraphs 110 - 120)
		C.5. Technical Cooperation	(paragraphs 120 - 159)
		C.6. Information Technology	(paragraphs 160 - 174)
>	D.	Follow-up on the results of my findings and recommendations last year and in prior years	(paragraphs 175 - 193)
>	<b>E.</b>	Other Matters	(paragraphs 194 - 197)
		E.1. Cases of Fraud or presumptive Fraud	(paragraph 194)
		E.2. Losses, write-offs and ex-gratia payments	(paragraphs 195 - 197)
>	F.	Acknowledgement	(paragraph 198)

#### A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### A.1. SCOPE AND APPROACH OF THE AUDIT

#### A.1.1. Scope of the audit

Audit of the accounts and performance of the IAEA, principles governing my audit -ISA and ISSAI 1. I have audited the accounts of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) for the financial period 1 January to 31 December 2010 in accordance with Article XII of the Financial Regulations and the Additional Terms of Reference Governing the External Audit annexed thereto. My audit has been conducted in conformity with International Standards on Auditing (ISA) as adopted by the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI) and issued as International Standards for Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAI). These standards require me to comply with ethical requirements, and to plan and carry out the audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement. The Agency's management was responsible for preparing these financial statements, and I am responsible for expressing an opinion on them based on evidence obtained in the course of my audit.

Audit of the financial statements

2. The financial statements for the IAEA, together with my audit report and the audit opinion, have been submitted to the Director General (DG) as provided for by the relevant financial regulation. The DG has taken note of the contents of my report and had no further comments.

Performance audits

3. The ISA as issued for the private sector require the auditor to carry out an audit of the organization's accounts and financial transactions. In addition to this the ISSAIs under series 3000 also require performance audits. I therefore carried out reviews under paragraph 5 of the Additional Terms of Reference Governing External Audit whereby I may make such observations as I deem necessary on the efficiency of the financial procedures, the accounting system, the internal financial controls and, in general, on the financial consequences of existing administrative practices. The results can be found in chapters C and D of this report.

#### A.1.2. Audit objective

Financial audit to form the audit opinion

4. According to the requirements of ISSAIs the main purpose of the audit was to enable me to form an opinion on whether expenditure recorded for the year had been incurred for the purposes approved by the General Conference; whether income and expenditure were properly classified and recorded in accordance with the Agency's financial regulations; and whether the financial statements presented fairly the financial position at 31 December 2010.

Value-for-money audit to give proactive advice

5. In addition, to a large extent I also examined the Agency's performance in order to assess whether expenditures are being incurred according to the principles of economy, efficiency and effectiveness. This enables me to follow my objective of giving constructive advice rather than criticizing after the event.

#### A.1.3. Audit approach

My team examined the accounting records as necessary.

Examination of invoices

Random sample check

- 6. My audit examination included a general review, and such tests of the accounting records and other supporting evidence as I considered necessary in the circumstances. These audit procedures are designed primarily to allow an opinion on the IAEA's financial statements to be formed.
- 7. We examined invoices from domestic and international vendors to check whether they had been handled according to the regulations. We also verified the existence of invoices constituting the basis for payments, and checked that the vouchers had been signed by all responsible officers. None of the invoices examined gave cause for criticism.

8. In addition, we analysed the Agency's transactions using professional audit software. We took a random sample of a significant number of all invoices. We also checked that invoices and the related documents had been filed in accordance with the regulations and cross-checked the basis for payment and the necessary signatures. None of the invoices examined gave cause for criticism.

#### A.1.4. Audit conclusion

There were no material weaknesses that affected the audit opinion. I placed an unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements.

9. Notwithstanding the observations in this report, my examination revealed no weaknesses or errors which I considered material to the accuracy, completeness and validity of the financial statements as a whole. During 2010, in accordance with normal practice, my staff reported additional findings to the Agency's management. None of these matters affects my audit opinion on the Agency's financial statements and schedules, and I have placed an unqualified opinion on the Agency's financial statements for 2010.

#### A.2. MAIN AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A.2.1. Subjects of my performance audits during 2010

Results of a further field mission concerning Technical Cooperation

Another field mission focussed on Nuclear Security Projects funded by the EC.

Visit to the Agency's office in Toronto

Other matters and follow-up

- 10. In each of my prior reports I presented the results of field missions dealing with the Agency's Technical Cooperation Fund (TCF). In 2010 my team carried out another field mission examining regional aspects, the results of which support my prior findings and call for an increase in efforts to join the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) process as designed by the United Nations Development Group (UNDG) as a consequence of the idea of 'delivery as one' (chapter C.5).
- 11. My team also carried out a field mission to one Middle-Eastern country in 2010 that focussed on Border Monitoring Projects funded by the European Commission (EC). This aimed at avoiding a further costly verification mission to the IAEA by the EC (chapter C.4).
- 12. Another field mission was carried out in the Agency's office in Toronto where my staff checked among other things how Information Technology (IT) cooperation between IAEA Headquarters (HQ) and a remote office is organized (chapter C.6).
- 13. My report also includes a follow-up to audit recommendations contained in my reports for 2009 and prior years and a commentary on other matters arising from the audit of the Agency's financial statements for 2010 (chapter D).

Performance areas covered by this report

- 14. For 2010, performance audit was again the main focus of my team's audit work. It has mainly covered the following areas:
- Travel
- Human Resources
- Nuclear Security Border Monitoring Projects dealing with radioactive sources
- Field Mission to Latin America
- Information Technology

International Public Sector Accounting Standards 15. The report also provides an update on the Agency's decision to adopt International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) and the progress achieved (chapter C.1.1).

Verification visits by the EC in addition to my regular audit are still on the agenda.

16. Since 2006 I have been reporting on the EC's condition to carry out separate on the spot checks to verify expenditure on projects financed by this donor. I inform Member States about developments during 2010 (chapter C.3.1).

#### A.2.2. Summary of findings and recommendations for the Secretariat

#### A.2.2.1.Financial issues

- 17. I reiterate my annual recommendation to recover outstanding assessed contributions (paras. 56-58).
- 18. Despite the Secretariat's continued negotiations with the successor states on the treatment of the assessed contributions from the former Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, no progress was achieved in 2010. This issue should be addressed urgently (para. 59).
- 19. The adoption of IPSAS in the Secretariat made good progress. I recommend keeping close contact with me and my team bilaterally in connection with a number of issues still outstanding (paras. 72-77).
- 20. The costs of the non-refunded part of United States' (US) income tax will have to be borne by the community of Member States. I recommend reconsidering the refunding method (paras. 78-80).

#### A.2.2.Administrative matters

#### A.2.2.2.1 Travel

- 21. I continue to encourage the Secretariat to consider reducing the lump sum entitlement for home leave travel from 75 % to 65 %. (para. 97).
- 22. Planning, initiation and authorization of travel need to be accelerated so that the Agency can benefit from the cheaper airfares usually available for earlier booking (paras. 98-99).
- 23. The quarterly travel plans should be produced with highest diligence and not leave out a significant percentage of duty travel. Further, duty travel should be planned at the earliest possible date (para. 100).
- 24. In a large number of all the journeys undertaken for IAEA a private component was included. In 5 % of all cases the number of private days was higher than that of the official days. It should be carefully considered whether in these cases the duty travel is really justified (paras. 101-102).

#### A.2.2.2.2 Human Resources Management

25. I encourage the Secretariat in its efforts to streamline the recruitment process (paras. 103-108).

26. The Secretariat should report on the implementation and the effects of the streamlining process by the end of the year 2011 (para. 109).

#### A.2.2.3. Nuclear Fuel Bank

- 27. The establishment of a nuclear fuel bank was approved by the Board of Governors on 3 December 2010. I recommend that the Secretariat verify whether the conditions for further payments by the Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI) and the EC have been met and claim any outstanding amounts (paras. 84-86).
- 28. I recommend that the Secretariat should carefully consider all risks for the IAEA connected with the implementation of the Nuclear Fuel Bank and define the Agency's role (para. 85).

#### A.2.2.4. Nuclear Security Fund - Border Monitoring

- 29. Before Radiation Portal Monitors (RPMs) are installed, a comprehensive site description addressing all potential risks known from past experience should be prepared (paras. 110-113).
- 30. In particular, the physical protection of the RPMs should be ensured, as accidents causing their destruction are foreseeable (paras. 114-117).
- 31. Project Agreements involving third parties should be revised in order to avoid disputes over timing and conditions of final payments (para. 120).

#### A.2.2.5. Technical Cooperation

#### A.2.2.5.1 United Nations Development Assistance Framework Process

- 32. The IAEA should seek a much higher level of international cooperation, as the Agency does not operate with field representatives and does not receive sufficient and reliable information from the field (paras. 121-124).
- 33. The Agency should consider itself to be a development organization also and act accordingly (para. 126).
- 34. I strongly support the Agency's intention to cooperate with other partners and consider it of utmost importance that the Secretariat should henceforth be represented in UNDAF processes in all parts of the developing world (paras. 127-131).

#### A.2.2.5.2 Recurrent Findings

- 35. I consider it extremely necessary that the Secretariat should exert its influence to ensure that Project Progress Reports are consistently provided and project key indicators defined (paras. 135-136).
- 36. The Secretariat should make delivery of equipment subject to trouble-free and uninterrupted customs clearance in the recipient countries (para. 138).

#### A.2.2.5.3 Regional Cooperation

37. The roles of National Liaison Officers (NLOs) and National Coordinators set out in the Regional Agreements should be assigned to one incumbent (para. 143).

- 38. The Agency should consider how the Programme Cycle Management Framework (PCMF) could support the counterparts (CPs) more effectively in the preparation of the Logical Framework Matrix (LFM). Furthermore, training should be provided for CPs and NLOs concerning PCMF and the LFM methodology (paras. 133-134).
- 39. Frequent changes of the Agency's 'Focal Point' lead to inconsistencies. The Secretariat should assign a long-term incumbent as an Agency's 'Focal Point' for issues relating to Regional Cooperation (para. 143).
- 40. The Secretariat should sensitize Member States to the major differences in the Agency's involvement in regional TC-projects, especially in financial terms (para. 146).

#### A.2.2.5.4 Provision of Radioactive Sources

- 41. The delivery of radioactive sources to Member States that do not meet the basic requirements set by the Agency itself for handling this dangerous material should be strictly avoided (paras. 150-154).
- 42. I urge the Secretariat to take immediate action to strengthen the process of reviewing new projects and to ensure that safety and security will be reestablished in the countries concerned (paras. 155-157).
- 43. I recommend ensuring through administrative measures of coordination and cooperation in the Agency that this avoidable mistake cannot be repeated (paras. 158-159).

#### A.2.2.6.Information technology

- 44. I recommend achieving a sound, effective and completed 'plateau 1' version of the Agency-wide Information System for Programme Support (AIPS) before extending the system to parts of 'plateau 2'. The stabilization of the system and the integration of all parts originally planned for 'plateau 1' should receive the highest priority (para. 166).
- 45. I recommend reassessing the decision to outsource hosting and operation of the AIPS database to Geneva, taking into account the long term importance of AIPS as the Secretariat's central financial application (paras. 167-170).
- 46. Locations outside HQ should be operated in a consistent professional manner applying all the Secretariats' IT related rules and regulations. Neither the staff nor the IT infrastructure should be handled differently (para. 174).

#### **A.2.2.7.Other**

47. I urge the Secretariat as well as the Member States to examine closely any future verification exercise started by the EC. Unless the EC have already examined all existing internal or external audit reports and all other information received by the donor, they should not be allowed to start another verification process. In particular part of the EC's audit approach (known as the 'four pillars exercise') appears questionable (paras. 87 ff).

#### A.2.3. Recommendations for consideration by the Member States

48. Outstanding assessed contributions should be reduced as much as possible and new arrears excluded (paras. 56-58).

49. I recommend again that efforts be made by Member States to complete the ratification process with a view to implementing the decision taken 12 years ago to introduce biennial budgeting (paras. 81-83).

#### A.2.4. Recommendations resulting from prior years' findings

- 50. Together with the implementation of IPSAS, the Secretariat should also envisage full accrual budgeting in the longer term in order to make maximum use of IPSAS (paras. 175-176).
- 51. Greater efforts should be made to claim unpaid assessed contributions from the former Yugoslavia from all successor states (paras. 177-179).
- 52. The Secretariat should continue its work on overall risk assessment and fully implement the risk management system (para. 181).
- 53. A new procurement strategy has been implemented. Now possible synergies and cost reductions should be verified (para. 182).
- 54. I encourage the Secretariat to take the steps that are still necessary to reform the information security policy in a timely manner (para. 189).

#### **B.** ANALYSIS OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **B.1. GENERAL**

I report on the Agency's financial development over a period of five years.

55. In the following paragraphs I provide information showing trends, tendencies and background information over the last five years. For that purpose my staff again carried out an analysis of several of the Agency's key figures and their development over the years since 2006.

#### **B.2. ASSETS**

#### **B.2.1.** Assessed Contributions Receivable

Arrears of assessed contributions increased again in 2010.

56. In 2010, the level of outstanding assessed contributions increased by roughly  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\ensuremath{6}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{7}}$ .7 million. Some Member States paid off older debts, but one Member State in particular dramatically increased its debts in 2010, which now amount to more than  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\ensuremath{6}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{0}}$  million.

Year	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
<b>Assessed Contributions Receivable</b>	59,357	41,804	38,015	30,507	37,256

Table 1: Assessed contributions receivable in thousands of Euros (source: Schedule S 1)

There is again a large amount of outstanding assessed contributions. 57. I consider the total amount outstanding to be still unacceptably high. I appreciate that the Secretariat continues its efforts to collect the funds. But the success of these efforts is not very convincing. Towards the end of 2010 the Agency was unnecessarily exposed to liquidity problems.

Member States with significant arrears should do their utmost to fulfil their obligations and to pay their contributions when due.

Outstanding assessed contributions still include funds owed by the former Yugoslavia.

- 58. The Secretariat made great efforts to collect outstanding assessed contributions. I can only urge the few Member States with significant arrears to do their utmost to fulfil their obligations. It is also a question of fairness vis-à-vis the other Member States to contribute to the well-being of the Agency in accordance with the agreed scale of assessment. Let me once more point out that the assessed contributions are due on 1 January of each year.
- 59. The outstanding assessed contributions still contain the amount of €2.3 million owed by the former Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. The IAEA can still claim a total of €861,070 from the successor states. The rest will have to be written off (paras. 177-179, refer).

#### **B.2.2.** Cash and Term Deposits

The cash situation is significantly influenced by advances.

60. The Agency's overall cash situation is significantly influenced by advances made to the intended Nuclear Fuel Bank. This amount can be found in Fund Group III, Extrabudgetary (EB) Programme Fund. Also considerable increases in TC EB Funds can be noted.

Year	Regular Budget	Technical	EB	Technical	Trust Funds
	Fund	Cooperation	Programme	Cooperation EB	
		Fund	Fund	Fund	
	Fund Group	Fund Group	Fund Group	Fund Group	Fund Group
	I	II	III	IV	VI
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2006	46,276,865	42,764,541	58,933,530	18,240,010	2,640,876
2007	65,784,115	42,805,495	61,326,960	18,387,852	3,076,456
2008	75,702,191	43,491,916	68,410,930	22,663,006	2,402,922
2009	65,862,656	50,923,935	118,228,390	25,691,863	5,620,773
2010	56,737,017	48,482,228	132,923,679	47,919,596	3,670,452

Table 2: Cash and term deposits in Euros as at 31 December (source: Statement II)

Regular Budget cash resources which decreased by € million are mainly committed.

Cash in the TCF is to a large extent committed.

The credit balances of currencies which are difficult to use were significantly reduced.

- 61. In 2010 the Regular Budget cash resources further decreased by roughly ⊕ million (column 2). A large portion of these funds is required to meet unliquidated obligations amounting to €35.5 million. In addition, the availability of these funds must still be regarded in the light of the total of advance payments by Member States in 2010 for 2011 which amounted to €23.7 million. Without these advances the Agency would not have been able to meet its obligations towards the end of 2010.
- 62. In the Technical Cooperation Fund (TCF) availability of the year-end total amount in cash and term deposits of €48.4 million is also limited, because the resources are to a large extent already committed by unliquidated obligations totalling €28.9 million.
- 63. Unlike in previous years, the total amount held in currencies the use of which is described as legally or otherwise restricted (Schedule 10, refers), has significantly reduced. The Secretariat found ways to exchange these currencies in collaboration with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) which carries out projects in these countries with higher local expenditure. Thus a total of US\$13.7 in 2009 was reduced to US\$2.1 at the end of 2010 and the funds could be freely utilized in the TCF. I welcome this solution.

The reasons for the unusual balance in EB cash are prepayments by Member States for the planned nuclear fuel bank.

The cash balance of the TC EB Funds increased significantly by almost 50 %.

- 64. EB cash (Fund Group III) still includes approximately €40 million, which mainly represents prepayments by two Member States which were made in order to finance the Agency's activities in the administration of the nuclear fuel bank. As these funds have not yet been formally accepted by the Secretariat, this money cannot yet be considered as income.
- 65. The cash balance of the TC EB Funds increased significantly by almost 50 %. This is of course welcomed by the Secretariat, but caused the situation described in paras. 69-71 below.

#### **B.3. INCOME AND EXPENDITURE**

The Agency experienced a shortfall of income over expenditure.

66. In 2010 the Agency experienced a shortfall of income over expenditure of €2.2 million. The reason can be found in the non-payment of assessed contributions.

Investment income has dropped to €0.8 million as the Secretariat put priority on the security of investments.

67. A lower interest income amounting to €0.8 million for all funds was achieved because interest rates, especially for investments in US\$, decreased to below 1 %. Investments were mainly made in Triple-A short-term US government debts (US T-Bills) and Triple-A Government Money Market Funds. At the end of the year 2010, the total amount held in these instruments is equivalent to more than €126 million (schedule 12, refers).

#### **B.4. VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS**

#### **B.4.1.** Income and expenditure

Member States provided significant voluntary contributions.

68. Member States increasingly met demands for the Agency's services by providing voluntary contributions. The figures for the last five years are as follows:

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
<b>Technical Cooperation Fund</b>	60,847,122	60,329,704	54,101,725	61,325,762	60,502,580
EB Programme Fund	29,042,936	42,160,968	29,648,407	58,054,310	60,880,939
Technical Cooperation EB Fund	14,872,011	10,121,146	7,535,097	17,952,578	35,487,475
Trust Funds Reserve Funds and Special Funds	4,437,145	1,595,299	291,251	5,054,516	251,888
Total	109,199,214	114,207,117	91,576,480	142,387,166	157,122,882

Table 3: TC and EB activities - total income in Euros (source: Statement I)

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
<b>Technical Cooperation Fund</b>	66,061,986	60,973,083	53,202,892	62,365,729	63,337,243
EB Programme Fund	31,351,337	37,063,809	41,375,483	43,462,460	49,336,236
Technical Cooperation EB Fund	16,962,882	11,363,934	7,441,949	11,382,543	37,082,992
Trust Funds Reserve Funds and Special Funds	3,390,029	1,162,662	1,181,211	1,568,898	2,079,112
Total	117,766,234	110,563,488	103,201,535	118,779,630	151,835,583

Table 4: TC and EB activities – total expenditure in Euros (source: Statement I)

Income as well as expenditure of all TC and EB activities increased significantly.

Income in the TC EB Fund nearly doubled, while expenditure tripled.

A large part of the expenditure in this Fund was recorded as unliquidated obligations amounting to €34.3 million.

- 69. Total TC and EB activities increased significantly. While the agreed target for the level of voluntary contributions to the TCF was kept at US\$85 million in 2010, income and expenditure in Fund Group IV (EB technical cooperation) went up sharply. Another reason is that Member States increasingly tend to increase EB funding on a voluntary basis (chapter C.3.2 of my 2009 report, refers).
- 70. Interesting in this context is the sharp increase of income and expenditure in the TC EB Fund. Income nearly doubled, while expenditure tripled. Part of the higher revenue was received voluntarily for a project of repatriation of two and a half tons of spent nuclear fuel from a shuttered research reactor in the Balkans.
- 71. It was not possible for the Secretariat to actually disburse the additional funds in 2010. However, they entered into a large number of commitments. As soon as service contracts are concluded or purchase orders issued the Secretariat is currently (in accordance with United Nations System Accounting Standards UNSAS) allowed to obligate the respective funds. Therefore the Fund Group IV shows unliquidated obligations amounting to €34.3 million. This means that the majority of funds are still in the Agency's bank accounts (table 2 of this report, refers). Under IPSAS (reporting will start with the financial statements as at 31 December 2011) there will no longer be unliquidated obligations. This will contribute to a more precise presentation of the financial situation.

#### C. DETAILED FINDINGS FOR 2010

#### C.1. FINANCIAL ISSUES

#### **C.1.1. International Public Sector Accounting Standards**

The application of IPSAS from 1 January 2010 was decided by the Board of Governors.

It can be expected that the implementation of IPSAS will be possible for the year 2011.

There are still several risk factors involved in a successful IPSAS implementation.

- 72. The Board of Governors decided on the adoption of IPSAS from 1 January 2010. I would like to give Member States an update on developments during 2010.
- 73. The implementation of IPSAS in the IAEA was divided into three phases. The first phase of AIPS implementation ('plateau 1') was completed as planned by 1 January 2011. The finance and the procurement system were launched 24 January under the new Enterprise Resource Planning System (ERP system) AIPS. The Agency's accounts can now be prepared in accordance with IPSAS for the year 2011.
- 74. During 2011 I will closely monitor whether the Secretariat will cope with a number of critical risks to which the IAEA is still exposed:
- implementing AIPS and IPSAS in parallel will continue to cause reconciliation problems
- the UN-wide Task Force that is reviewing the IPSAS accounting policies and providing implementation guidelines is still continuing its work. Not all decisions on the accounting standards the Agency will have to apply have been taken yet, e.g. those concerning joint premises such as the Vienna International Centre. I would like to stress that ongoing and reliable funding of the AIPS project as precondition for successful IPSAS implementation has to be ensured.

After service benefits continue to be disclosed in the notes rather than on the face of the financial statements for the year 2010.

In the framework of the UN Panel of External Auditors, I am actively involved in IPSASrelated issues.

I encourage the Secretariat to continue to cooperate with me in the adoption of IPSAS.

- 75. In my last reports, I had recommended including after service benefits on the face of the Agency's balance sheet. As the financial statements will be IPSAS compliant only at 31 December 2011, the Agency's liabilities for separation benefits (€70 million) and post-retirement benefits such as after-service health insurance (€106 million) continue to be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements (V and W) in accordance with United Nations System Accounting Standards. The question of how to fund this current obligation is still unresolved.
- 76. As chairman of the Panel of External Auditors of the UN, I am actively involved in discussions of IPSAS-related topics. The UN Panel's technical group again dealt with some specific IPSAS issues. The results of the Annual Meeting of the UN Panel concerning IPSAS-related topics were reported to the Secretary-General of the UN and to the Chairman of the UN Task Force on IPSAS.
- 77. The Secretariat and my team continue to be in close contact bilaterally on specific accounting policies and implementation issues. All policy papers issued by the Task Force on IPSAS were considered. I encourage the Secretariat to continue to cooperate with my team on this issue.

#### C.1.2. US Income taxes reimbursement

IAEA Officials are exempt from taxation on the salaries and emoluments paid to them by the United Nations.

Contrary to an ILO Administrative Tribunal decision the US refuses to reimburse taxes resulting from a higher marginal rate.

The gap between the amount recovered by the US and the amount actually reimbursed to US staff members amounted to €1.1 million, payable at the expense of all Member States.

- 78. The Convention on the Privileges & Immunities of the United Nations Section 18 (b) states that officials are exempt from taxation on the salaries and emoluments paid to them by the United Nations. Unlike other countries, the US taxes the income of staff members with US nationality. As the salaries paid by the Agency to its staff are tax free, the IAEA reimburses income taxes paid by its US staff members. The US government then refunds the Agency for the tax reimbursement on the basis of a Tax Reimbursement Agreement with the IAEA.
- 79. The reimbursements to the staff member is based on IAEA income being the sole source of income, but the staff member is required to pay taxes on own income at the higher marginal rate. Three decisions by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) Administrative Tribunal stated that the only acceptable system for reimbursement was the 'Last Income' method, reimbursing the staff member as if no IAEA income was earned. In 2004, in accordance with the tribunal's decisions, the IAEA changed its policy for reimbursing US staff members from the 'first income' to the 'last income' method. This resulted in the refunds to US staff members for their taxes exceeding the reimbursements by the US to the Agency.
- 80. As the US government does not accept a renegotiation of the agreement and ultimately refused to refund the IAEA beyond the tax related to the salary as first income, the Secretariat decided to write off the gap between the amount recovered by the US during tax years 2004 to 2009 and the amount actually reimbursed to US staff members amounting to €1.1 million. I would like to point out that this cost will be borne by the community of member states and encourage the US authorities to reconsider their policy in the light of this.

#### C.2. BUDGETARY ISSUES

#### C.2.1. Biennial Budgeting

The implementation of biennial budgeting still needs formal acceptance by the Member States.

My appeal last year to change the statute resulted in only one more ratification.

IPSAS does not require annual budgeting.

- 81. In my Audit Report for 2004, I already noted that the Agency aims to align its budgeting cycles with those common within the UN system. The Agency has taken the necessary steps to introduce biennial budgeting.
- 82. The amendment to Article XIV.A of the Statute to allow biennial budgeting was approved by the General Conference in 1999 and must be ratified by two-thirds of the Member States (101 out of 151; status November 2010) in accordance with their respective constitutional processes, before it enters into force (Article XVIII.C (ii) of the Statute). Although more than eleven years have passed since the General Conference resolution, it is still not in force due to a shortfall in its ratification by Member States.

83. The implementation is not affected by the adoption of IPSAS, because IPSAS accounting policies only require annual reporting but not annual budgeting. I recommend again that efforts be made by Member States to complete the ratification process.

#### C.2.2. Nuclear Fuel Bank

The establishment of a nuclear fuel bank supported by the Nuclear Threat Initiative - has been approved by the Board of Governors.

The Agency's involvement in the nuclear fuel bank is currently considered in a concept paper.

I recommend clarifying whether outstanding amounts can be claimed following the decision taken by the Board.

- 84. A total of €41.6 million (included in the item 'Other Reserves', Statement II, Fund Group III) represents prepayments by two Member States which were made in order to finance the Agency's activities in the administration of a nuclear fuel bank. With this initiative the provision of low-enriched uranium for fuel is put under multilateral control. Within the framework of the Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI) more than US\$150 million has been pledged. The establishment of this nuclear fuel bank, which is intended to be financed from these funds, has been approved by the Board of Governors on 3 December 2010 (GOV/2010/70).
- 85. The Secretariat is currently preparing a concept paper on the basis of the proposals made by the DG for the nuclear fuel bank (GOV/INF/2007/1) that is intended to analyse the way forward. I recommend monitoring closely all risks involved for the Agency in this activity. The decision on the Agency's role should be considered very carefully. For the time being the funds have not been formally accepted by the Secretariat. This money therefore cannot yet be considered as income and is provisionally kept under other reserves.
- 86. According to the conditions of the NTI, however, the total funds shall be made available as soon as the decision on the establishment of the nuclear fuel bank is taken. I recommend that the Secretariat clarify whether the conditions for the further payments by the NTI and the EC have been met and ask for payment of any outstanding amounts.

#### C.3. ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES

#### C.3.1. Verification Visits by the EC

Voluntary contributions with unacceptable conditions are problematic.

FAFA was signed by the UN and the EC and is valid between the partners.

The EC will take into account internal and external audit results and results of previous verification missions in the verification process.

This procedure would relieve the pressure put on client organizations.

The EC does not follow the agreed procedure.

The EC verification team had no idea that there were audit reports available.

The EC ignored several audit reports in which I recommended the merger of two existing offices and the streamlining of the procedures.

The verification team wanted to assess the existing procurement system which will be discontinued in three months' time.

- 87. In all of my previous reports I pointed out that the EC requires separate audits for its voluntary contributions based on a Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement (FAFA). My recommendation to refuse acceptance under these conditions, as this breaches the single audit principle, was repeatedly supported by the Board of Governors in the course of its discussion of my report.
- 88. The agreed Terms of Reference (TOR) for verification missions should be strictly followed. In these TORs the Commission acknowledges the mandated primacy of UN oversight and control systems, including the principle of exclusive or single audit by UN External Auditors, and will endeavour to build reliance on these systems.
- 89. In early 2010 the Panel of External Auditors brought this matter to the attention of the UN Secretary General and the President of the General Assembly of the UN and requested their assistance. As a result the TORs now clearly provide that the EC will take into account the results of internal and external audit in their verification process. The results of previous verification missions would also be taken into account. The oversight functions of the UN entities could in the longer term take over all verification tasks.
- 90. This would relieve a good part of the pressure put on our client organizations, which currently have to accept costly and time-consuming audit exercises at the expense of all Member States, while the required assurance of accountability has already been provided by the appointed external auditor.
- 91. Experience however repeatedly showed that the EC does not follow this agreed procedure. In the IAEA one verification visit was carried out in spring 2010, when the European Court of Auditors (ECA) came for an on the spot check in the course of the Declaration of Assurance required by the European Parliament. Furthermore, in autumn last year a 'Four Pillars Exercise' (i.e. accounting, internal control, audit and procurement) was carried out by a private company at the request of the EC.
- 92. The 'Four Pillars Exercise' causes particular concern, because after all the discussions in this working group I had expected that the agreed procedure would be followed. The verification team, however, obviously had no idea that there already were audit reports covering the issues they were examining.
- 93. Over the years my team and I have dealt with a necessary reorganization of the Agency's procurement office. There were several audit reports recommending the merger of two existing offices and the streamlining of the procedures by using basic supply agreements. Finally, in summer 2010, the Agency decided to follow my advice and changed the organization and the financial regulations relating to the procurement office completely, with effect from 1 January 2011.
- 94. One part of the 'Four Pillars' is the procurement system. The team concentrated on the existing system and the IAEA had difficulties convincing the verification team that it would not make sense to verify a system which will be discontinued in three months' time. The EC's interest in this exercise is more of a proactive nature. Therefore they finally agreed to base their assessment on the new procurement system. This, however, shows that the team did not study any of the existing reports before they started their mission, nor did they ask for them.

The EC could easily accept the assurance of accountability expressed in the audit opinion and refrain from its own verifications.

EC verifications should only be accepted when all information available from other sources has been examined.

- 95. I would further like to point out that the EC could easily accept the assurance of accountability expressed in the audit opinion, because all Panel members generally apply the ISA, which are the same for the private and the public sector. The External Auditors' assurance of accountability should therefore not be generally questioned. Should further evidence be needed by the Commission it should contact the relevant external auditor who will be happy to assist to the extent possible.
- 96. I recommend that the Secretariat consider future verification attempts by the EC very carefully. They should only be accepted when the EC can demonstrate that they have examined all the information available in existing audit reports before carrying out their work.

#### C.3.2. Travel

I had recommended changes in the handling of travel to achieve considerable savings.

OIOS issued an audit report in 2010 that revealed that the regulatory framework might have to be revisited to address a number of shortcomings.

Planning, initiation and authorization of travel need improvement.

Quarterly Travel Plans do not contain complete information.

In about 10 % of all travel in IAEA a private component was included.

An official trip to Switzerland of one day was combined with 15 days of private activities.

- 97. In my report for the financial year 2008, I had already pointed out that the processing of travel claims could be accelerated. Further, the acceptable travel time could be slightly extended in order to make significant savings in the costs of duty travel. I also encouraged the Secretariat to consider reducing the lump sum entitlement for home leave travel from 75 % to 65 %.
- 98. An Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) audit report issued in 2010 revealed that the regulatory framework might have to be revisited to address a number of shortcomings *inter alia* inconsistent practices in the application of lump sums paid to non-staff travellers; the combination of official and private travel which, in some instances, might be detrimental to the interest of the Agency; and non-compliance with the requirement to submit travel reports.
- 99. OIOS identified significant room for improvement in the planning, initiation and authorization of travel. Often arrangements and/or authorization of travel are coming late. This does not allow the Agency to benefit from the cheaper airfares usually available for earlier booking.
- 100. A significant percentage of duty travel is not included in the corresponding Quarterly Travel Plan. Around one third of all trips undertaken by staff members during the period under review were unplanned and did therefore not allow booking at reduced airfares.
- 101. In about 10 % of all travel in IAEA (approximately 5,000 trips per year) a private component was included. Moreover, in 258 cases, or 20 % of all trips including private components, the number of private days was higher than that of the official days.
- 102. In one case an official trip to Switzerland of one day was combined with 15 days on private matters. While the imbalance between official and private components does not mean that the travel was not necessary per se, it nevertheless raises some concerns about the real justification of the trip.

#### C.3.3. Recruitment of professional staff

Audit with focus on the time taken for the different recruitment actions.

103. My team examined the recruitment of Professional staff (P staff) in the Agency in the years 2008 to 2010 (status: 2010-10-12). The audit focused on the workflow and the time taken for the different recruitment actions.

436 vacancy notices and 43,500 applications in the period under study.

104. During the period under study, the Secretariat issued 436 vacancy announcements for positions at the P level (or higher) and received more than 43,500 applications. The average number of days taken to draft the vacancy notice, and the average number of days between advertising the vacancy notice approving the appointment of the selected candidate were as follows:

Action(s)	2008	2009	2010
	days	days	days
Drafting of the vacancy notice	127	116	94
Advertisement – Approval to appoint	314	268	177

The average time taken for the different recruitment actions is too long.

105. The high number of vacancy announcements and applications for P positions in the last three years underlines the importance of an efficient and timely recruitment process. Even if the figures show a significant improvement, an average time taken of 94 calendar days (13.5 weeks) for the drafting of the vacancy notice and 177 calendar days (25 weeks) for the process starting with the advertisement of the vacancy notice up to the approval to appoint are still too long.

Starting with advertisement of the vacancy notice up to the approval to appoint, the Secretariat's objective is 90 working days or 18 weeks.

106. At the time my team examined the recruitment process the guidelines in the Administrative Manual, Part II Section 3, were under revision. Part of the revision is intended to streamline the recruitment of P staff. The targeted maximum duration for the process starting with the advertisement of the vacancy notice up to the approval to appoint is 90 working days ('recruitment in 18 weeks') plus 3 to 20 working days for the drafting of the vacancy notice.

Streamlining the recruitment of P staff is in process.

107. The target maximum duration for the drafting period depends on the availability of a generic job description, and the need to reclassify and approve the post in the staffing plan. In the course of streamlining recruitment, the principal responsibility and authority for driving the recruitment process now lies with the Division Directors.

The Secretariat's objective is still far from being achieved.

108. As the current figures show, the objective of 'recruitment in 18 weeks' is still far from being achieved. Currently this period takes 25 weeks on average, which is seven weeks or almost 40 % more than the objective. And compared to the objective of 3 to 20 working days for the drafting period, the current average duration of 13.5 weeks is even worse.

I encourage the Secretariats efforts and recommend a report on the implementation at the end of 2011. 109. I encourage the Secretariat in its efforts to streamline the recruitment process. I know that the implementation takes time, particularly as efficiency and timeliness in recruitment requires the engagement of all parties if the length of the process is to be reduced. I recommend that the Secretariat report on the implementation and the effects of the streamlining process by the end of the year 2011.

#### C.4. Nuclear Security Border Monitoring

#### C.4.1. Introduction

In 2010 my staff carried out a Field Mission to Nuclear Security Projects in a Member State in the Middle East Region. 110. In November 2010 my staff visited a Member State in the Middle East Region (hereafter: Country) which had received support through the European Community Instrument for Stability. On the basis of a Contribution Agreement (CA) the IAEA-Nuclear Security Office was assigned responsibility for the implementation of projects using the funds provided.

The purpose of the Contribution Agreement

The implementation of nine RPMs at seven locations, scheduled for February 2010, was extended to December 2010 (currently still in progress). Only three of the nine RPMs were operating.

Only three of the eight approved RPMs were actually in operation.

- 111. The purpose of the action funded under the CA was to strengthen national infrastructure related to the trade and border control of this country The action was broken down into two sub-projects, namely:
- Installation of a radiation detection network at borders; and
- Establishment of an institutional response infrastructure.
- 112. The implementation phase of the Agreement started on 21 August 2008. The implementation period was scheduled for 18 months, but was extended to the end of March 2011 and is currently still in progress.

During its on-site visits my team found that eight out of the nine Radiation Portal Monitors (RPMs) planned had been installed (one RPM was still stored at an airport warehouse) and had already been subjected to an acceptance test.

113. However, only three of the eight approved RPMs were actually in operation. Due to server problems caused by the high level of humidity, to none or unstable power supply, or to substantial damage, five RPMs were not running. My staff noticed that the delivered hand-held equipment was not in use.

#### C.4.2. Site Description and Site Design

The RPMs were not operational due to non-existing or unsteady power supply. Power generators were not integrated into the specification.

Additional funding for power generators is indispensable.

RPMs were damaged before starting the regular operating routine. The risk of damage was obvious.

The IAEA accepted the site design without an appropriate protection concept.

- 114. Information provided by the Country in the process of drawing up the documents for the work indicated that adequate power supplies existed at the sites. However, three of eight successfully installed RPMs were not in operation due to none or unstable power supply. Although the necessity of power generators was recognized during the project's Vendors Conference in March 2009, this requirement was never specified in the subsequent contracts.
- 115. The indispensability of power generators was only raised again in late October 2010, during the extended implementation phase, amongst the contractors IAEA and the Country. Since funds were not provided for this under the above-mentioned agreement, this issue is not yet resolved.
- 116. After acceptance test and before starting regular operations, two of eight RPMs were severely damaged by vehicles. These RPMs were located on a long steep road with heavy truck traffic and only protected by ordinary pillars which would be insufficient to stop trucks coming down-hill especially as these are often in poor technical condition. The steep downward slope and the potential failure of truck brakes are obvious risks at this location. Although the frequency of accidents was previously reported and had in the past led to death or injuries to customs officers and civilians, these risks were not mentioned in the site description.
- 117. The IAEA accepted the site design without an appropriate protection concept on the part of the company in charge. It is unlikely that the costs of repairs will be reimbursed by the responsible persons (usually truck drivers) or an insurance company.

#### C.4.3. Risk assessment

Although addressed in my Reports 2007 and 2009 risk assessment is still a problem.

Physical protection against damage should be an essential part of site description. 118. In my Report 2007, I noted that risk assessment concerning the physical protection of RPMs had been carried out for the first time. Again I had to point out the lack of risk assessment and the consequences in my Report 2009. However, an RPM installation was established afterwards and the recommended reconsiderations about site design were not followed. I noticed that this RPM was damaged shortly after its installation.

119. I strongly recommend that risk assessment concerning physical protection against damage be an essential part of a site description and that this should be reflected in the site design. Since this issue is not being adequately considered, the risk of damage is unnecessarily high.

#### C.4.4. Final Payments

The agreement type led to problems in finalizing the project.

120. The above-mentioned CA specifies that after implementation any open balance has to be paid within 45 days of approving the final report. As the project is financed by the EC, but the contribution agreement is signed between the IAEA and the country, the finalization of the project caused problems. The final payment in this project was still outstanding as at 31 December 2010. I recommend that Project Agreements involving third parties should be revised in order to avoid disputes over timing and conditions of final payments.

#### C.5. Technical Cooperation

#### C.5.1. Cooperation in the UNDAF process

The Secretariat needs to explore partnership opportunities with a much broader range of stakeholders.

UNDAF provides a collective, coherent and integrated UN system response to national priorities and needs.

From 104 existing UNDAF processes only 14 have been signed by the Agency.

121. The IAEA has a well-established mandate and long-standing partnerships in nuclear energy, safety, security and safeguards. These fields of activities, however, contribute to only about 20 % to 25 % of the TC programme. Approximately 70 % of the TC programme addresses food and agriculture, health, water and the environment, where the IAEA mandate is neither well known nor understood. As a matter of priority, TC needs to explore partnership opportunities with a much broader range of stakeholders in areas that address two-thirds of the TC programme.

122. The common strategic framework for the operational activities of the UN system at the country level, the UNDAF provides a collective, coherent and integrated UN system response to national priorities and needs. The Agency's TC programme and projects, technical assistance and budget contributions should be linked to the UNDAF results matrix.

123. However, the Agency's poor participation in the UNDAF process in previous years could only be slightly improved in 2010. From 104 countries where a UNDAF process is led by the Resident Coordinator only 14 UNDAFs have been signed by the Agency.

One of the major issues is that the Agency lacks representation at the national level. In the UNDAF process the PMO should represent the Agency.

The specialized nature of the Agency should not hinder its participation in the UNDAF process.

The Agency should consider itself to be a development organization and act as such.

The Agency can make a valuable contribution to the UNDAFs.

Participation in the UNDAF process should be stipulated in guidelines.

It should be defined what an outreach in the context of an UNDAF process is and what the objectives are.

In two regions there are no UNDAFs signed by the Agency yet. The Secretariat should set itself an objective e. g. a number of UNDAFs signed in each region.

- 124. As the Agency lacks representation at the national level, the NLO is the primary contact person between the IAEA and the Member State on matters relating to the IAEA. But acting for the Agency in the UNDAF process might be difficult for the NLOs, because they are in the first place representatives of their governments. Furthermore, their grade in the hierarchic position is generally different to that of the United Nation Country Teams (UNCTs). In my view the Vienna-based Programme Management Officers (PMOs) should represent the Agency in UNDAF processes. The close cooperation required should be assisted by, for example, the NLOs and supported by e. g. video conferences and effective coordination of business trips.
- 125. It is not clear why the specialized nature of the Agency should hinder a forceful participation in the UNDAF process. On the contrary, the guiding principles governing the provision of technical assistance (INFCIRC/267) require the Agency to coordinate its technical assistance with other UN organizations and specialized agencies.
- 126. Even though the Agency's general internal and external image is still that of the 'Nuclear watchdog', it spends more than half of its budget on Official Development Assistance, thus promoting the economic development and welfare of developing countries. Accordingly, the Agency should consider itself to be a development organization and act as such.
- 127. A gap between the policy approach of the UN and the Agency's project focus should not prevent the Secretariat from a more intensified cooperation in the UNDAF process. The 14 UNDAFs signed by the Agency and the results of 75 briefings already show that the Agency can make a valuable contribution to the UNDAF processes.
- 128. As cooperation with the UNCTs is already stipulated in the guidelines for Country Programme Frameworks (CPF), stronger participation in the UNDAF process should not cause significantly more expense than the procedures followed so far. In my view the Secretariat should specify its participation in the UNDAF process in the CPF-Guidelines.
- 129. In the context of UNDAF processes, outreaches aim at creating a dialogue between the UNCT and the Agency. So far they do not lead in all cases to sustainable results, such as closer cooperation or at least an established regular communication aimed finally at participating in the UNDAF process. Hence, in view of a closer cooperation with the UNCT and participation in the UNDAF process, it should be defined what an outreach is, what the objectives are and what the results should be.
- 130. The Secretariat confirmed that my observations regarding the linkage between the UNDAFs and the TC programme and projects were valid in general. In two regions (Asia and Latin America) not a single UNDAF has yet been signed by the Agency. The Secretariat should ensure that in these regions participation in the UNDAF process will be extended and intensified. The Secretariat should set an objective e. g. a certain number of UNDAFs to be signed by the Agency in each region in each of the coming years.

The Secretariat achieved only slight improvements in cooperation with other UN organizations over the past years.

131. The audits of the past years show that the Secretariat did not use all its possibilities to achieve a satisfactory degree of cooperation with other UN organizations. In addition the respective findings of the 2008, 2009 and 2010 Field Missions supported my conclusion. I have repeatedly stated that cooperation and exchange of information with relevant organizations concerning the Agency's projects made sense and that corresponding arrangements and measures should be initiated. Single activities at the country level and some slight development in the meantime within the TC-Department show that the Secretariat seems to be on the right track. I highly appreciate the tendencies shown by the Secretariat in the meantime. However, the measures taken can only be the beginning of the entire process.

#### C.5.2. Regional Cooperation

The Secretariat finally took steps to bring the appropriate participants together. The Secretariat's communications should be followed by appropriate activities.

There is room for improvement in the application of the LFM and use of PCMF by CPs.

The Secretariat stated that training in the LFM methodology had been a standard in Latin America for over ten years.

There has been no improvement in providing PPRs.

- 132. The main objective of the 'Quadripartite Forum' is the information exchange regarding all Regional Cooperative Agreements in place. The National Project Coordinators (NPCs) generally had very limited awareness of the principles and the mode of cooperation. However, in the view of the NPCs this information needs to be communicated by the Agency in order to establish synergies between the Regional Cooperative Agreements. My team made similar findings in previous audits. I highly appreciate that the Secretariat finally took steps to bring the appropriate participants together. The Secretariat's communications should be followed by appropriate activities.
- 133. The correct application of the LFM methodology is of crucial importance for the smooth design and implementation of TC projects. Data are often entered into the LFM without a thorough understanding of the methodology. The concept of performance indicators is not yet fully understood by most CPs. The Agency should consider how the PCMF could support the CPs more effectively in the preparation of the LFM. Furthermore, training should be provided for CPs and NLOs in the LFM methodology.
- 134. The Secretariat stated that training of CPs and NLOs in the LFM methodology had been a standard in Latin America for over ten years. Furthermore, two training courses were scheduled to be held in Peru in February 2011. Over 62 participants (NLOs, National Liaison Assistants and CPs) from the region would be trained in project design using the LFM. I hope that the training courses will now significantly improve the future use of LFM in project design.

135. The Project Progress Report (PPR) feature in the PCMF was not generally used. If used, the lines in the PPR screen were not duly completed. Indicators were not updated. PPRs were provided outside the PCMF as documents describing project participants, activities, meetings etc. but without updating the indicators. In my 2005 report I already criticized the poor delivery of PPRs. I recommended that the Agency should ensure that PPRs were produced in a comprehensive and timely manner and that in cases of noncompliance measures should be taken. The Field Missions in 2008 and 2009 supported this finding, even though in 2006 the Secretariat had agreed that the PCMF would increase the ability to monitor outcomes effectively. Finally, in January 2010, the Secretariat stated that in August 2009 the new PCMF application had been introduced, facilitating the submission of PPRs by CPs to meet their obligations. But up to now there has been no noticeable progress in this matter.

In cases of noncompliance the Secretariat should consider not only sanctions but also incentives.

CPs should be trained in PCMF. As users, they should be represented in the PCMF process.

The Secretariat should make delivery of equipment subject to trouble-free and uninterrupted customs clearance in the recipient countries.

The establishment of an arrangement with an expert freight forwarder resolved the problem of lacking information on the status of delivery.

136. I consider it essential that the Secretariat exert its influence to ensure that PPRs are consistently provided and project key indicators defined. In cases of non-compliance, the Secretariat should not only consider sanctions, but also introduce incentives for the CPs so that all requirements can be fulfilled.

137. Regarding the usability and features of the PCMF, there is a gap in the perception between the CPs and TC. This gap should be closed through appropriate measures e. g. through workshops on PCMF features during the implementation phase. Furthermore, user representation should be established with regular meetings involving CPs and PMOs. I already stated in my year 2009 report that the responsibility for user requirements should be taken over by an institutionalized user representation of CPs.

138. For the procurement of equipment the Agency uses in most cases the offices of the UNDP to enable duty free importation of equipment under UNDP host country agreements. In some cases communication regarding delivery of equipment and customs clearance did not work properly. For administrative reasons equipment delivered is held in bonded warehouses. Meanwhile the counterpart institutions have to pay warehouse charges, which in some cases exceeded the value of the equipment delivered. The Secretariat should make delivery of equipment subject to trouble-free and uninterrupted customs clearance in the recipient countries.

139. The Secretariat stated that the issues of communication dysfunction over delivery of equipment to CPs and customs clearance had meanwhile been resolved with the establishment of an arrangement with an expert freight forwarder. I will pursue this issue and, if necessary, revert to it in my next report.

#### C.5.3. General Issues concerning Field Mission to Regional TC projects

My team carried out a field mission to three ARCAL recipient countries.

ARCAL is an autonomous intergovernmental agreement that is supported by the Agency. The Agency is not a party to the ARCAL.

For ARCAL projects, ARCAL and Agency procedures apply. 140. In October/November 2010 my team carried out a field mission to three Latin America recipient countries for on-site examination of the Secretariat's implementation of regional TC projects mainly in connection with the Regional Cooperative Agreement for the Promotion of Nuclear Science and Technology in Latin America and the Caribbean (ARCAL).

141. The ARCAL is an inter-governmental agreement in Latin America and the Caribbean. It was established in 1998 under the auspices of the IAEA to promote, foster, coordinate and implement cooperation activities for training, research, development and applications of nuclear science and technology in the Latin America and the Caribbean region. The IAEA is not party to the ARCAL. Subject to available resources, the Agency should support the ARCAL programmes and projects established in accordance with this agreement through its technical cooperation and other programmes. The agreement is open to the participants of any Member State in Latin America and the Caribbean.

142. The Agency runs regional projects as 'regular' regional projects or as ARCAL regional projects. For the implementation of ARCAL projects, ARCAL and Agency procedures apply. The main objectives of ARCAL are to move towards regional self-sufficiency and the maximum utilization of available infrastructure and expertise in Latin America using the 'Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries' modality.

Frequent changes of the Agency's 'Focal Point' lead to inconsistencies. The Secretariat should assign a long-term incumbent as an Agency's 'Focal Point' for ARCAL issues.

The Secretariat argued the rotation of the PMOs would increase the knowledge base.

ARCAL should cooperate with the UNCT if possible.

The Secretariat should sensitize Member States to the major differences in the Agency's involvement in TC projects, especially in financial issues.

The Secretariat stated that there are differences in the way ARCAL and non-ARCAL projects are implemented.

For ARCAL-projects ARCAL and Agency procedures apply. Procedures need to be further illustrated at the NPC level in all their aspects.

Utilization of DCs should be established and made available to the personnel concerned.

- 143. Regarding the ARCAL agreement the Director of Technical Cooperation for Latin America and the Caribbean (TCLA) plays the role of the Secretariat and the PMO the role of the Agency's 'focal point' for all TC-activities in the Member States. The frequent changes of the Agency's 'Focal Point' lead to inconsistencies. The Secretariat should assign a long-term incumbent as the Agency's 'Focal Point' for ARCAL issues.
- 144. The Secretariat stated that the rotation of the PMOs provides assistance and increases the knowledge base of ARCAL among the TC staff. These weak arguments do not resolve all my doubts and confirm my recommendation.
- 145. There is no established cooperation between ARCAL and the UNCTs in the UNDAF process. In my view the Secretariat should exert its influence to determine whether cooperation between ARCAL and the UNCTs regarding ARCAL projects could further increase the benefits for the Member States.
- 146. There was no decisive difference in the Secretariat's implementation of ARCAL projects compared to 'regular' projects. NPCs and counterpart institutions generally did not differentiate between them either. ARCAL's special requirements and mechanisms were partly not known to them. The NPCs and counterpart institutions valued the meetings as opportunities to exchange experience, but generally felt uncomfortable because they did not know the methods used to allocate ARCAL project funds and their share. My team made similar findings in the course of their audits in the Member States of the African Regional Cooperative Agreement for Research, Development and Training Related to Nuclear Science and Technology (AFRA) and the Regional Cooperative Agreement for Research, Development and Training related to Nuclear Science and Technology (RCA). The Secretariat should make the Member States more aware of the major differences in the Agency's involvement in TC-projects, especially in financial issues.
- 147. The Secretariat stated that there are differences in the way ARCAL and non-ARCAL projects are implemented and these are related to reporting, preparation of work plans and project coordination meetings. I do not contest the statement of the Secretariat. However the audit shows that there are still information shortfalls in the field.
- 148. The main objectives of ARCAL are to move towards regional self-sufficiency and maximum utilization of available infrastructure and expertise through the 'Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries' modality. One mechanism to this end under ARCAL is 'Designated Centres (DC)'. The principle of DCs is widely known. Nevertheless the NPCs and counterpart institutions at the local level generally had very limited awareness of how they could benefit from DCs. My team made similar findings in previous audits regarding AFRA and RCA.
- 149. The mechanism of DCs needs to be introduced at the NPC level in all its aspects. Details for utilization should be established and made available to the personnel concerned.

#### C.5.4. Provision of Radioactive Sources

There are projects which include the provision of radioactive sources to member states which do not meet the minimum requirements.

The Secretariat has not sufficiently applied security and safety standards.

The Milestones framework provides the conditions for delivery.

In 26 cases radioactive sources have been procured and delivered to countries where the recipient Member States did not meet the basic milestones of security.

I concluded that the reason was a lack of coordination in the organization.

The DG took note of the report. In my view immediate action is required.

OIOS was requested by the TC department and the DG to examine this issue.

The problems described should be addressed without any delay. Management should comment on the immediate steps that will be taken.

The Secretariat announced immediate action and intends to strengthen the processes so that this critical mistake could be prevented.

- 150. During the numerous field missions we carried out in the last seven years to check the activities of the Agency in the field of TC, we came across projects which included the provision of radioactive sources to member states which do not meet the minimum requirements of safety and security concerning radiation.
- 151. We conclude that in these cases the Secretariat has not sufficiently met its commitment to ensure that radiation sources are only provided to Member States that comply with Milestones 1 and 2 of the 'Model Project on Upgrading Radiation Protection Infrastructure'.
- 152. The Milestones framework has been subsumed into the Thematic Safety Area framework and notified to the Board in GOV/INF/2004/13. A failure to comply with milestone 1 and 2 means *inter alia* that a project country has no system for the notification, authorization and control of radiation sources. This is considered the main immediate indicator of progress by the Member State in meeting its project obligations.
- 153. In 2010 OIOS addressed this issue and found that there have been a number of cases [26 out of the 135 procured sources above exemption (19%)] where radiation sources in TC projects have been procured and delivered to countries where the recipient Member States did not meet milestones 1 and 2 (Management Services Report No. MS 2010004, issued August 2010, paras. 28-31, refer).
- 154. This report disclosed a lack of coordination in the organization which led to the result that the provision of radioactive sources was not prevented in these cases. OIOS submitted nine recommendations some of which require immediate action.
- 155. On 28 October 2010 the DG took note of the report without objecting to any of the recommendations. As in the cases reported by OIOS, the Agency does not comply with its own standards and remedial action is required urgently.
- 156. I appreciate that this issue had already been identified by the TC department in 2009 and that DG requested OIOS in March 2010 to establish the facts relating to the practices on providing radiation sources to Member States not meeting milestones 1 & 2 and to provide recommendations and identify priorities for change.
- 157. I am very grateful for this important and comprehensive report by OIOS. I would expect the serious problems described in the report to be addressed without any delay. I therefore invited management to comment on the immediate steps that will be taken with a view to re-establishing security and safety in the projects concerned. I further reminded the Secretariat that in a large number of cases the Agency did not comply with its own standards.
- 158. I am grateful that the Secretariat announced its intention to take immediate action and to strengthen the process of reviewing new projects. Safety and security will be re-established in the countries concerned by verifying the infrastructure and drawing the appropriate conclusions. As a last resort even a repatriation of the source could be considered. Before that step is taken, the Secretariat will try to enhance the safety and security infrastructure according to its standards.

The large number of cases indicates that there is a systemic weakness that should definitely be corrected.

159. I welcome all the steps described. I am, however, of the opinion, that the delivery of sources as described above should have been avoided. The occurrence of this coordination failure in 26 cases shows that there was a systemic weakness. I recommend ensuring through administrative measures that this mistake cannot be repeated.

#### **C.6. Information Technology**

My staff and I continued to examine the Agency's IT concentrating on the implementation of AIPS. 160. As stated in my reports in past years, I continued to examine the Agency's IT management. I appreciate that the Secretariat has continued to implement many of my recommendations. Some aspects are still pending implementation. Last year my staff concentrated on the single most important project: the Agency-wide Information System for Programme Support.

#### C.6.1. Agency-wide Information System for Programme Support

#### C.6.1.1.IT project

My staff started to examine the AIPS project at an IT project level.

I very much appreciate the professional project execution of AIPS.

Funding problems resulted in a very ambitious timeline to implement AIPS.

Unexpected additional manual implementation efforts caused delays.

Inadequate master data management and the need to keep the old systems going for a longer period forced supplemental work.

I recommend ensuring that AIPS 'plateau 1' is complete and functioning before extending the system with parts of 'plateau 2'.

- 161. My staff started to examine the AIPS project at an IT project level. Due to the combination of introducing an ERP System, including a new financial system, while changing the accounting standards, this project was and is a big challenge for the Secretariat.
- 162. I very much appreciate the professional project execution, the mostly comprehensive documentation and the transparent information policy of the AIPS project team.
- 163. There were some difficulties funding the project in time and funding all necessary modules of 'plateau 1'. The late funding and the fixed date of introduction of new accounting standards resulted in a very ambitious timeline to implement AIPS by the planned launch date. Consequently there was less time for appropriate application-, integration- and user-testing.
- 164. The implementation of some Oracle standard modules caused unexpected additional manual implementation efforts. Some functions were therefore postponed to a later time rather than 'going live'.
- 165. In the past there was no adequate or comprehensive master data management in the Secretariat. Therefore, with regard to the AIPS implementation, there are (still) risks concerning data conversion and data quality. This meant that additional work was necessary in order to exclude multiple stored data and to add missing data. Some additional information, e.g. that stored in the old procurement systems, was not migrated to AIPS. Therefore these old systems have to be used for a longer period after AIPS 'goes live'. Furthermore a number of interfaces to the old systems had to be implemented.
- 166. I recommend ensuring that the 'plateau 1' version of AIPS is complete and functioning properly before extending the system with parts of 'plateau 2'. The stabilization of the system and the integration of all parts originally planned for 'plateau 1' should be given the highest priority. I will monitor this process closely.

#### C.6.1.2.Operation of the AIPS data base

Hosting and operation of the database system of AIPS, Oracle, was outsourced to UNICC in Geneva.

A decision was taken by management against hosting the new ERP inhouse by MTIT, but preferring the service of UNICC.

The decision to outsource hosting and operation was based on a joint recommendation from the IAEA CIO and the AIPS Project Lead.

The decision to outsource hosting and operation should be reviewed for costs, risks, benefits, and alternatives. 167. My staff found that a paradigm change had occurred in the philosophy of IT operation and effective data ownership. Until the introduction of AIPS the operation of Agency-wide IT systems was provided by the Agency's data centre and by the Agency's central IT service unit Department of Management, Division of Information Technology (MTIT). Now, the hosting and operation of the database system of AIPS, Oracle, has been outsourced to the United Nations International Computing Centre (UNICC) in Geneva.

168. A decision was taken by management against the hosting in-house by MTIT, preferring the ERP hosting experience and the Oracle know-how in UNICC. It is difficult to understand why the Agency would not want to increase the skills and the knowledge within the Secretariat's central IT service unit MTIT, because the new ERP System will be its most important data base technology in the future.

169. The above-mentioned decision was based on a joint recommendation from the IAEA Chief Information Officer (CIO), and the AIPS Project Leader to the AIPS Project Executive and then ratified by the AIPS Project Board. The time since selecting Oracle as the data base of the future ERP system could have been used to build up initial in-house ERP/Oracle knowledge. The joint recommendation was based on the analysis of feasibility, costs and benefits of the alternatives.

170. I recommend reassessing the hosting decision in view of the long term requirements of AIPS, recognizing that outsourcing the hosting to Geneva creates the need to assess availability and security risks for the future central financial application within the Secretariat's IT landscape. The Agency should reassess the feasibility, risks, and detailed monetary requirements of the hosting alternatives and should also consider the positive effects to build up in-house ERP/Oracle knowledge for MTIT as the Secretariat's central IT service unit. Potential long-term savings should be realized. I welcome that the Secretariat showed willingness to do so.

#### C.6.2. IT in Decentralized Locations

My staff have audited all important locations of the Agency outside HQ.

There were different numbers of IT staff and different responsibilities for IT issues in locations outside HQ. 171. In the past years my staff have audited all the important locations of the Agency outside its HQ, the Regional Offices in Tokyo and Toronto and the Laboratories in Seibersdorf and Monaco. These audits also concerned IT issues (staff, equipment, security, operation and procurement).

172. My staff noticed, compared to HQ, different conditions particularly regarding:

- the number of IT support staff and the IT organizational structure,
  - o Tokyo and Toronto: no IT-related staff
  - o Monaco: one IT-related staff (Departments of Nuclear Sciences & Applications, Environmental Laboratory)
  - o Seibersdorf: two IT-related staff Department of Management, Division of General Services (MTGS)
  - o because of the different time zones Tokyo and Toronto also have to operate with only half day support from HQ.
- the responsibilities for operating the local networks,
  - o Tokyo and Toronto: Department of Safeguards, Division of Information Management (SGIM)
  - Seibersdorf and Monaco: MTIT

Without appropriate local IT staff, it is impossible to operate all locations in a consistent way.

I repeat my recommendation to improve the organizational structure of IT responsibilities within the whole Secretariat.

- o in general for the HQ premises (rooms, electricity, cooling): MTGS
- IT security measures, including a different understanding of the impact of disorder in the IT rooms and in the IT infrastructure.
  - Monaco, Seibersdorf and Toronto: IT server rooms and server racks were freely accessible, and in a state of disorder, and there was confusing data cabling between servers and modems.

173. Depending on the size of the locations, I am aware that the number of IT-related staff in relation to the number of total staff has to be different from HQ. I am also aware of the improvements made during 2010 through IT upgrade projects regarding the local networks. I highlight the recently updated professional network installation in Seibersdorf. Without appropriate local IT staff and with the current distribution of acting units, it is impossible to operate all locations comparably. The above-mentioned findings showed that it is current practice to support the Secretariat's staff to different degrees, and to accept different kinds of environmental conditions for IT operations and IT security.

174. In recent years I repeatedly recommended improving the organizational structure of IT responsibilities within the whole Secretariat. This included of course the locations outside HQ. Each local network is a gateway for potential attacks against the Secretariat's IT and against the Secretariat's information. It is therefore necessary to operate each single location in a comparable professional way applying all of the Secretariat's IT related rules and regulations in the same manner. Neither the staff nor the IT infrastructure should be handled differently. I recommend resolving this situation.

#### D. Follow-up on the results of my findings and recommendations last year and in prior years

#### **D.1. Financial Issues**

#### **D.1.1.** Accrual Budgeting

The Secretariat should consider full accrual budgeting in the longer term.

It is unlikely that IAEA will prepare the budget on an accrual basis in the near future.

175. Last year I encouraged the Secretariat not only to include some accrual elements in the budget for 2010-2011, but to introduce full accrual budgeting in the longer term, in order to be able to report on the budget compared to actual expenditure under IPSAS (para. 100 of my 2008 report).

176. In 2008, the UN Chief Executive Board decided to defer the question of whether UN system organizations should adopt accrual budgeting. It is therefore unlikely that IAEA will prepare the budget on an accrual basis in the near future. However, I was informed that the Division of Budget and Finance (MTBF) and the AIPS implementation team will work together to ensure that the requirements are met through the processes and systems design and implementation. I encourage the Secretariat to intensify its efforts to achieve this in the course of the AIPS and IPSAS implementation in consultation with the UN Finance and Budget Network.

#### D.1.2. Outstanding assessed contributions from the former Yugoslavia

Assessed contributions in the amount of €2.3 million owed by the former Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia are still outstanding.

As of today, apart from informal contacts, successor states have still not responded to the Secretariat.

A write off of €1.34 million is the consequence for the IAEA. The rest should be claimed.

177. I had repeatedly reported that the IAEA's accounts show assessed contributions in the amount of €2.3 million owed by the former Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia for the years 1990 to 2001. The UN took the decision that the unpaid assessed contributions of the former Yugoslavia up to 27 April 1992 shall be apportioned among the successor States of the former Yugoslavia.

178. In August 2009 and in August 2010 the Secretariat approached the successor states of former Yugoslavia informing them of the General Assembly resolution and the total amounts due and requested their views on how to address these arrears in the Agency. As of today, apart from informal contacts, successor states have not responded to the Secretariat. A further reminder letter to one country is being prepared.

179. The net balance of the unpaid assessed contributions (€1,347,638) relating to the former Yugoslavia for the years after 27 April 1992 might have to be written off. The IAEA can still claim a total of €861,070 from the successor states. I recommend that this be done in relation to all successor states.

#### **D.2.** Administrative Matters

#### D.2.1. Risk Management

The Secretariat should complete the Agency's overall risk management system as soon as possible.

Risk management should be implemented. I will monitor its development. 180. In my report last year I had recommended that the Secretariat complete the Agency's overall risk assessment and fully implement the risk management system as soon as possible. I found that the IAEA is dealing with more sensitive operational, financial and reputational risks than most other international organizations.

181. I appreciate that the Secretariat has started the identification of risks based on Department inputs. The Secretariat should continue with the overall risk assessment and fully implement the risk management system. My staff and I will monitor the development of an effective risk management system in the IAEA.

#### **D.3. Procurement**

A new procurement strategy has been implemented. Now possible synergies should be achieved. 182. Following my advice over several years, the Agency reorganized its procurement strategy and increased the number of Basic Supply Agreements (BSAs). From September 2010 low value requests were decentralized in order to reduce administrative costs. A procurement management reform package was developed that ensures purchases at the best value for money. The process has been finalized meanwhile and with the changeover to working with the AIPS all possible synergies should be achieved.

#### **D.4. Human Resources**

#### **D.4.1. Post and Contract Management Reform**

The Secretariat will report on the HR management reform in its Personnel report to the General Conference in September 2011.

183. In my last report, I encouraged the Secretariat to continue its improvement of the Human Resources (HR) post and contract management system. I recommended that the Secretariat should report on these measures, as well as on the financial and management implications of reform one year after the end of the process (30 June 2011 at the latest). The Secretariat will add this information to its Personnel report which will be provided to the General Conference in September 2011. I appreciate the efforts made and will follow up on the results.

#### **D.4.2. Staff Rotation Policy**

The Secretariat agreed or partly agreed with my recommendations for reassessing the RP. The recommended biennial reporting on the RP will be part of the Personnel report to the 2011 General Conference.

184. In the course of the audit of the Staff Rotation Policy (RP) I recommended reassessing the RP with regard to a more judicious mix of long and fixed term appointments in all Departments, an Agency-wide common approach to long-term staff at a level higher than 40 %, a target of 37 % women as minimum (UN average) and a more active use of the tools available to terminate the employment of poorly performing staff. Furthermore, I recommended introducing biennial reporting on the implementation, the associated costs and the benefits of the RP. The Secretariat agreed or partly agreed with my recommendations. The implementation process is mostly ongoing. In its report to the 2011 General Conference the Secretariat will provide information on the aforementioned aspects of the RP.

I will continue to focus on this topic.

185. I appreciate the Secretariat's intention to follow my recommendations and I know that reassessing the RP takes time. At present it is not clear which concrete measures the Secretariat will take. Therefore I am looking forward to the Personnel report to the 2011 General Conference and I will continue to focus on this topic.

#### **D.5. Information Technology**

I will follow up on the application of the new basic supply agreements for IT equipment. 186. The Secretariat has contracted new BSAs for desktops, laptops and printers. I welcome the decision following our recommendation to specify appropriate standard configurations. These configurations will meet the needs of most of the Agency's users. Due to the fact that a periodical adjustment of prices was already part of the previous BSA (but not applied in an appropriate way), I will follow up to see whether the current clauses for the periodical review of pricing and capabilities are being observed by the contractors.

There have been some improvements concerning the presentation of the information security documentation.

187. In my report last year I recommended enhancing efforts concerning information security policy issues *inter alia* the roles and responsibilities in this regard. I very much welcome the improvement in presentation and availability of the Agency's information security documentation by the new Security Awareness web site introduced with SEC/DIR/44/Rev.1. To permit quick access to this important source of information for all staff, I recommend placing a link at an appropriate position in the On-line Administrative Staff Information System (OASIS).

Planned improvements in regard to information security policy were only partly carried out.

I encourage the Secretariat to take the necessary steps to reform the information security policy.

I will follow up on the enforcement of fire protection in the Agency's Data Centre. 188. Concerning the status of the existing information security policy, notably the multifaceted positions, roles, functions and responsibilities, the consolidation of the Agency Information Security Officer (AISO) and Central Security Coordinator (CSC) roles is planned in the 1st half of 2011, the duties of the AISO being taken over by the CSC. I welcome the planned consolidation of these roles, which constitutes a significant step forward in the management of the Agency's information assets. The role of Agency Classification Officer will be reviewed as part of a planned reassessment of the Agency's classification system to be conducted in 2011.

189. However, further changes still have to be made. I remind the Secretariat that the 'Information Security Policy Improvement Plan', drafted in October 2009 by the CIO, which included, e.g. a proposal for an 'IT Security Policy framework based on the International Standard ISO 27002', should have been implemented by April 2010. I encourage the Secretariat to take the necessary steps to reform the information security policy in a timely manner.

190. My staff noted that fire protection is still inadequate in the Agencywide data centre. The Secretariat confirmed that a fire suppression system is planned and funded as part of the Phase III Data Centre implementation which will be accomplished by the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2011. I will follow up on this matter.

#### D.6. Physical protection of IAEA's laboratories in Seibersdorf

Important security leakage in Seibersdorf closed. Perimeter fence around laboratory complex completed.

Three significant security weaknesses raised concerns.

Despite the Secretariat's acceptance of my recommendation no progress was made for years. Two issues remain unresolved.

191. In 2010 the Secretariat addressed one of my major recommendations concerning the physical protection of the Seibersdorf premises. A perimeter fence surrounding the laboratory was completed in November 2010. A considerable EB contribution closed the gap in financing the fence. I am satisfied that dangers arising from uncontrollable access can be reduced significantly by this measure.

- 192. Since my first year as External Auditor of the IAEA in 2004, I have reported annually on deficiencies in the security situation of the Agency's laboratory complex in Seibersdorf:
- the lack of a complete surrounding fence,
- the weak access control to the premises by non-IAEA security staff
- parking lots in the immediate vicinity to the Safeguards Analytical Laboratory (SAL) where car bombs could be used to damage or destroy the SAL.

193. The Secretariat shared my concerns. The project to fence the premises, however, took five years. The Secretariat stated that funding restraints prevented earlier implementation. I am confident I will be able to report soon on the implementation of the remaining two recommendations concerning the parking lots in front of the SAL, as well as the access control to the IAEA Seibersdorf premises.

#### E. OTHER MATTERS

#### E.1. CASES OF FRAUD OR PRESUMPTIVE FRAUD

OIOS found no evidence of fraud in the Agency.

194. In 2010, OIOS did not find evidence of fraud, although weak internal controls continue to be identified. However, one case of presumptive fraud against the Agency was reported to OIOS in 2010. This matter is still under investigation.

#### E.2. LOSSES, WRITE-OFFS AND EX-GRATIA PAYMENTS

#### E.2.1. Write-offs and Losses

Receivables written off 195. Receivables amounting to €1,353,492 were written-off in 2010. They include the following:

US Income taxes reimbursement €1,115,794
Training courses, travel and payroll items €37,419
UNDP-TCF project and other general receivables €0,178
Agency Laboratory, Publication and ad-hoc invoices €10,158
Insurance receivables €39,940

Items reported as lost

196. A total of 23 non-expendable items with a purchase value of €33,342 and book value of €409 were lost and written off in 2010.

A total of 10 safeguard equipment items, purchased at a cost of €12,704 with a book value of €3,496 were reported lost during 2010.

#### E.2.2. Ex-Gratia Payments

There were no ex-gratia payments.

197. No ex-gratia payments were made during 2010.

#### F. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

198. I wish to record my appreciation for the cooperation and assistance extended by the Director General, management and staff of the International Atomic Energy Agency. I am very grateful for their assistance during the whole external audit process.

(signed)

Norbert Hauser

Vice-President of the Federal Court of Auditors Germany External Auditor

#### Acronyms

AFRA	African Regional Cooperative Agreement for Research, Development
AFKA	and Training Related to Nuclear Science and Technology
AISO	Agency Information Security Officer
AIPS	Agency-wide Information System for Programme Support
ARCAL	Regional Cooperative Agreement for the Promotion of Nuclear Science
TINCTIL	and Technology in Latin America and the Caribbean
BSA	Basic Supply Agreement
CA	Contribution Agreement
CIO	Chief Information Officer
CP	Counterpart
CPF	Country Programme Framework
CSC	Central Security Coordinator
DC	Designated Centre
DG	Director General
EB	Extrabudgetary
EC	
	European Court of Auditors
ECA ERR system	European Court of Auditors
ERP system	Enterprise Resource Planning System
FAFA	Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement
HQ	IAEA Headquarters
HR	Human Resources
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
ILO	International Labour Organisation
INTOSAI	International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions
IPSAS	International Public Sector Accounting Standards
ISA	International Standards on Auditing
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISSAI	International Standards for Supreme Audit Institutions
IT	Information Technology
LFM	Logical Framework Matrix
MTBF	Department of Management, Division of Budget and Finance
MTGS	Department of Management, Division of General Services
MTIT	Department of Management, Division of Information Technology
NLO	National Liaison Officer
NPC	National Project Coordinator
NTI	Nuclear Threat Initiative
OIOS	Office of Internal Oversight Services
PCMF	Programme Cycle Management Framework
PMO	Programme Management Officers
PPR	Project Progress Report
RCA	Regional Cooperative Agreement for Research, Development and
	Training related to Nuclear Science and Technology in Asia
RP	(Staff) Rotation Policy
RPM	Radiation Portal Monitor
SAL	Safeguards Analytical Laboratory
SGIM	Department of Safeguards, Division of Information Management
TC	Technical Cooperation
TCF	Technical Cooperation Fund
TCLA	Technical Cooperation for Latin America and the Caribbean
TOR	Terms of Reference
UN	United Nations

UNCT	United Nations Country Team
UNDAF	United Nations Development Assistance Framework
UNDG	United Nations Development Group
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNICC	United Nations International Computing Centre
UNSAS	United Nations System Accounting Standards
US	United States (of America)
US T-Bills	Triple-A short-term US government debts
WCF	Working Capital Fund

#### **PART II**

#### **Statements**

#### Text of a Letter dated 16 March 2011 from the Director General to the External Auditor

Sir,

Pursuant to Financial Regulation 11.03(a), I have the honour to submit the accounts of the International Atomic Energy Agency for the year ended 31 December 2010, which I hereby approve. The financial statements have been prepared and signed by the Director, Division of Budget and Finance.

Accept, Sir, the assurances of my highest consideration.

(signed) Yukiya Amano Director General

169 903 156

(665 082)

22 103 808

1 507 377

22 946 103

STATEMENT I

STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENDITURE AND CHANGES IN RESERVES AND FUND BALANCES

for the period ending 31 December 2010

2009

259 007 3 202 586 742 945 670 711 2 902 550 1 517 417 224 982 704 579

(signed) GARY A. EIDET Director, Division of Budget and Finance

### STATEMENT II

## STATEMENT OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES, RESERVES AND FUND BALANCES

as at 31 December 2010 (expressed in euro)

						GENE	RAL	FUND							
	REC	REGULAR BUDGET FUND AND WORKING CAPITAL FUND	FUND	TECH	TECHNICAL COOPERATION FUND	NOIL	EXTRABUDGETARY PROGRAMME FUND	OGETARY NMME D	TECHNICAL COOPERATION EXTRABUDGETARY FUND	DOPERATION TARY FUND	TRUST FUNDS RESERVE FUNDS AND SPECIAL FUNDS	NDS DS AND JNDS		TOTAL	
		FUND GROUP I			FUND GROUP II		FUND GROUP III	OUP III	FUND GROUP IV	OUP IV	FUND GROUP VI	UP VI			
	Schedule/ Note	2010	2009	Schedule/ Note	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	Schedule	2010	2009
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Investment in commissary	N - K.	56 737 017 808 879	65 862 656 808 879	SS.	48 482 228	50 923 935	132 923 679	118 228 390	47 919 596	25 691 863	3 670 452	5 620 773	S13	289 732 972 808 879	266 327 617 808 879
Assessed contributions receivable from Member States Voluntary contributions receivable Assessed programme costs receivable National participation costs receivable Other	S1 & N - L. N - M.1.	37 255 610	30 506 932	S8 S9a S9b N - M.2.	3 568 837 1 704 546 308 290 1 988 552	2 323 625 1 698 480 622 228 4 565 783	1 052 634	1 259 727	- - - 347 718		310 705			37 255 610 3 568 837 1 704 546 308 290 22 966 523	30 506 932 2 323 625 1 698 480 622 228 20 822 902
TOTAL ASSETS		114 068 420	111 258 006		56 052 453	60 134 051	133 976 313	119 488 117	48 267 314	26 379 028	3 981 157	5 851 461		356 345 657	323 110 663
LIABILITIES  Contributions received in advance Unliquidated obligations Provision for revuluation of balance sheet	S1,S3 N - N. N - P.	23 712 441 35 455 087 5 922 126	17 630 285 42 010 807 5 783 333	S8, S9b	1 168 408 28 977 683	1 318 180 36 124 855	- 16 444 453 181 662	50 000 15 815 208 445 425	34 281 029 197 374	- 12 469 195 112 506	210315 10819	257 409 11 202		24 880 849 115 368 567 6 311 981	18 998 465 106 677 474 6 352 466
Provision for unonigated nataress of appropriations Provision for Phase II Security Enhancement Provision for ERP implementation Uncollected assessed programme costs Uncollected National participation costs	N - Y N - Z	10 689 284 62 282 484 136	57 810 4 910 621	S9a S9b	- - - 1 704 546 308 290	- - 1 698 480 622 228	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1			10 689 284 62 282 484 136 1 704 546 308 290	57 810 4 910 621 1 698 480 622 228
Accounts payable Other	N - 0.1.	1 064 381	3 847 788	N - 0.2.	26 273	127 728	6 305 662	9 483 847	190 718	430 600				7 587 034	13 889 963
TOTAL LIABILITIES		77 389 737	74 240 644		32 185 200	39 891 471	22 931 777	25 794 480	34 669 121	13 012 301	221 134	268 611		167 396 969	153 207 507
RESERVES AND FUND BALANCES Uncollected assessed contributions Reserve for Major Capital Investment Fund Other reserves	S1 N - I.	11 712 390	10 923 172 11 133 000							1 1				11 712 390 11 252 300 42 321 634	10 923 172 11 133 000
Working Capital Funds Commissary Working Capital Surplus (deficits)	S2, S3 N - K. S4	15 210 152 808 879 (2 305 038)	15 226 730 808 879 (1 074 419)											15 210 152 808 879 (2 305 038)	15 226 730 808 879 (1 074 419)
Fund balances TOTAL RESERVES AND FUND BALANCES		36 678 683	37 017 362		23 867 253 23 867 253	20 242 580 20 242 580	68 722 902	53 689 725	13 598 193	13 366 727	3 760 023 3 760 023	5 582 850 5 582 850		109 948 371	92 881 882
TOTAL LIABILITIES, RESERVES AND FUND BALANCES		114 068 420	111 258 006		56 052 453	60 134 051	133 976 313	119 488 117	48 267 314	26 379 028	3 981 157	5 851 461		356 345 657	323 110 663
						(signed) GARY A. EIDET	Y A. EIDET								

#### STATEMENT III

#### STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW

#### for the period ending 31 December 2010

(expressed in euro)

	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net excess (shortfall) of income over expenditure (Statement I)	3 657 575	22 946 103
(Increase) decrease in contributions receivable	(7 686 018)	5 735 931
(Increase) decrease in other accounts receivable Increase (decrease) in contributions received in advance	(2 143 621) 5 882 384	575 459 (13 320 722)
Increase (decrease) in contributions received in advance  Increase (decrease) in unliquidated obligations	8 691 093	19 349 574
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(6 302 929)	3 464 837
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	6 226 786	(13 413 075)
Less: Interest income	(815 778)	(1 517 417)
Currency exchange adjustments	(77 096)	( 224 982)
NET CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	7 432 396	23 595 708
CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Plus: Interest income	815 778	1 517 417
Currency exchange adjustments	77 096	224 982
NET CASH FROM INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES	892 874	1 742 399
CASH FLOW FROM OTHER SOURCES:		
		. = =
Savings on or cancellation of prior periods' obligations Increase (decrease) in provision for uncollected assessed programme	11 055 754	4 750 691
and national participation costs (TCF)	(307 872)	143 094
Transfers to reserves	2 437 022	29 300 492
Net increase (decrease) in Working Capital Fund	( 16 578)	16 274
Credits to Member States	( 227 287)	(4 523 832)
Currency translation adjustments	2 139 046	(1 368 174)
NET CASH FROM OTHER SOURCES	15 080 085	28 318 545
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	23 405 355	53 656 652
CASH AND TERM DEPOSITS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	266 327 617	212 670 965
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD (Schedule S13)	289 732 972	266 327 617
consisting of:		
Fund Group I - Regular Budget Fund and Working Capital Fund	56 737 017	65 862 656
Fund Group II - Technical Cooperation Fund Fund Group III - Extrabudgetary Programme Fund	48 482 228 132 923 679	50 923 935 118 228 390
Fund Group IV - Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Fund	47 919 596	25 691 863
Fund Group VI - Trust Funds, Reserve Funds and Special Funds	3 670 452	5 620 773
1	289 732 972	
	209 132 912	266 327 617

## STATEMENT IV

# STATEMENT OF THE REGULAR BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS for the period ending 31 December 2010

(expressed in euro)

						•	i	
	Appropriations			Expenditure				
Description of major programme		Disbursements	ements		T Tallousidates			
	Adjusted b/	Disbursements excluding amounts transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund	Transferred to Major Capital Investment Fund c/	Unliquidated obligations	Onniquidated obligations for shared services and laboratory activities	Total	Unobligated balances of appropriations	Balance e/
1. Nuclear Power, Fuel Cycle and Nuclear Science	30 080 042	25 990 410	410 000	1 715 211	364 570	28 480 191	1 599 851	ı
<ol> <li>Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental Protection</li> </ol>	34 842 008	29 351 725	480 000	3 572 295	1 089 095	34 493 115	348 893	ı
3. Nuclear Safety and Security	27 942 815	25 854 298	380 000	882 242	388 215	27 504 755	438 060	1
4. Nuclear Verification	115 341 832	97 505 482	1 580 000	8 785 143	2 302 039	110 172 664	5 169 168	ı
5. Policy, Management and Administration Services	75 075 376	63 317 027	1 010 000	7 743 408	443 589	72 514 024	2 561 352	ı
6. Management of Technical Cooperation for Development	17 607 080	16 368 879	240 000	378 061	48 180	17 035 120	571 960	ı
Appropriation Budget	300 889 153	258 387 821	4 100 000	23 076 360	4 635 688	290 199 869	10 689 284	
7. Reimbursable work for others	2 738 223	2 762 008		1	286 685	3 048 693	1	(310 470)
TOTAL a/	303 627 376	261 149 829	4 100 000	23 076 360	4 922 373 d/	293 248 562	10 689 284	(310 470)

a/ Represents the sum of Statement IV.1 "The Operational Portion of the Regular Budget" and Statement IV.2 "The Capital Portion of the Regular Budget".

b/ General Conference Resolution GC(53)/RES/6 of September 2009 - revalued at the UN average rate of exchange \$1.3248 to 1 euro.

c/ In accordance with the Agency's Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010, 64.1 million was transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investments.

d/ This amount represents € 452 692 relating to the Agency's Shared Support Costs and € 469 681 to the Agency's Laboratories.

e/ (€310 470) represents the costs of additional services provided to other VIC-based organizations and to projects financed from the Technical Cooperation Fund and extrabudgetary resources.

## STATEMENT IV.1

# STATEMENT OF THE OPERATIONAL PORTION OF THE REGULAR BUDGET for the period ending 31 December 2010

(expressed in euro)

	Americanione			Fynenditure				
	Appropriations			EAPCIIGIUIC				
Description of major programme		Disbursements	ements		1 Talianidated			
	Adjusted a/	Disbursements excluding amounts transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund	Transferred to Major Capital Investment Fund b/	Unliquidated obligations	Uniquidated obligations for shared services and laboratory activities	Total	Unobligated balances of appropriations	Balance d/
1. Nuclear Power, Fuel Cycle and Nuclear Science	30 080 042	25 990 410	410 000	1715 211	364 570	28 480 191	1 599 851	
2. Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental Protection	34 842 008	29 351 725	480 000	3 572 295	1 089 095	34 493 115	348 893	
3. Nuclear Safety and Security	27 942 815	25 854 298	380 000	882 242	388 215	27 504 755	438 060	1
4. Nuclear Verification	115 341 832	97 505 482	1 580 000	8 785 143	2 302 039	110 172 664	5 169 168	1
5. Policy, Management and Administration Services	74 973 176	63 214 827	1 010 000	7 743 408	443 589	72 411 824	2 561 352	ı
6. Management of Technical Cooperation for Development	17 607 080	16 368 879	240 000	378 061	48 180	17 035 120	571 960	
Appropriation Operational Budget	300 786 953	258 285 621	4 100 000	23 076 360	4 635 688	290 097 669	10 689 284	
7. Reimbursable work for others	2 738 223	2 762 008	1	1	286 685	3 048 693	1	(310 470)
TOTAL	303 525 176	261 047 629	4 100 000	23 076 360	4 922 373 c/	293 146 362	10 689 284	(310 470)

a/ General Conference Resolution GC(53)/RES/6 of September 2009 - revalued at the UN average rate of exchange \$1.3248 to 1 euro.

b/ In accordance with the Agency's Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010, €4.1 million was transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investments.

c/ This amount represents @ 452 692 relating to the Agency's Shared Support Costs and @ 469 681 to the Agency's Laboratories.

d/ (€10470) represents the costs of additional services provided to other VIC-based organizations and to projects financed from the Technical Cooperation Fund and extrabulgetary resources.

## STATEMENT IV.2

STATEMENT OF THE CAPITAL PORTION OF THE REGULAR BUDGET for the period ending 31 December 2010

(expressed in euro)

	Appropriations		Expenditure	liture			
Description of major programme	Adjusted a/	Disbursements	Unliquidated obligations	Unliquidated obligations for shared services and laboratory activities	Total	Unobligated balances of appropriations	Balance
Nuclear Power, Fuel Cycle and Nuclear Science				-	-		
Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental Protection	,		1			1	1
Nuclear Safety and Security	,		1	1		1	1
Nuclear Verification	,		1	1		1	1
Policy, Management and Administration Services	102 200	102 200			102 200	1	,
Management of Technical Cooperation for Development		1		,			
Appropriation Capital Budget	102 200	102 200			102 200	-	
Reimbursable work for others		•		-	•		
TOTAL	102 200	102 200			102 200		

a/ General Conference Resolution GC(53)/RES/6 of September 2009.

9

5.

#### PART III

#### **SCHEDULES**

## SCHEDULE S1

# REGULAR BUDGET FUND STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE REGULAR BUDGET AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

			2010			Prior years	years	Total	Advance
Member State	~	Advance	ď	H	Outstanding	Payments	Outstanding	Outstanding at	payments and
	Assessed	payments and credits a/	Fayments	I otal	at €0.761	and credits in 2010 b/	at €0.761	€0.761	2011 c/
Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of	2 476	45	2 431	2 476	1	10 776	30 934	30 934	1
Albania	14 985	6	14 976	14 985	1	1 853	1	1	6
Algeria	203 710	2 488	201 222	203 710	1	1	1	1	320 661
Angola	7 454	49		49	7 405			7 405	
Argentina	801 191	31 399	769 792	801 191	1	ı	1		32 285
Armenia	5 036	09	4 976	5 036	,	,	1	1	,
Australia	5 193 377	72 573	5 120 804	5 193 377	,	,	,	•	5 942 353
Austria	2 577 071	39 214	2 537 857	2 577 071					1 635
Azerbaijan	12 544		12 544	12 544	,	29	,	•	
Bahrain	95 760	152	-	152	95 608	-	-	95 608	-
Bangladesh	24 629	235	24 394	24 629	-	1	-		5 045
Belarus	47 200	587	46 613	47 200	,	,	,	•	
Belgium	3 232 339	48 714	3 183 625	3 232 339	,	,	,		2 030
Belize	2 5 1 8			1	2 5 1 8	2	2 2 5 8	4 776	
Benin	2 486				2 486	-	16 371	18 857	
Bolivia	15 106	,	1	1	15 106	1	66 057	81 163	,
Bosnia and Herzegovina	14 906	260	14 646	14 906	1	1	,		1
Botswana	32 704	20	28 151	28 171	4 533	53 712	,	4 533	•
Brazil	2 160 039	51 474	,	51 474	2 108 565	,	,	2 108 565	
Bulgaria	48 364	543	47 821	48 364	-	1	1	-	•
Burkina Faso	5 007	118	4 889	5 007	1	1	1	1	1 154
Burundi	2 486		,	,	2 486	,	2 286	4 772	
Cambodia	2 486			,	2 486		221 947	224 433	
Cameroon	22 660	,	1	1	22 660	17 687	2 909	25 569	1
Canada	8 625 306	8 625 306	1	8 625 306	1	ı	1		9 889 632
Central African Republic	2 486	,	,	,	2 486	,	17 360	19 846	,
Chad	2 486	,	,	1	2 486	2 997	1 955	4 441	,
Chile	397 043		397 043	397 043		592 379		•	
China	6 539 488	68 065	6 471 423	6 539 488		1	1		
Colombia	250 156	29 976	220 180	250 156	1			1	
Congo	2 994				2 994		2 809	5 803	
Costa Rica	78 048			1	78 048	385 403	34 942	112 990	
Côte d'Ivoire	22 656	330		330	22 326			22 326	
Croatia	118 567	118 567	1	118 567	1	1	1		
Cuba	130 919	-	-	-	130 919	108 578	119 883	250 802	-
Cyprus	128 004	1 765	126 239	128 004		1	1		1
Czech Republic	682 264	682 264		682 264		1	•	•	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	7 455				7 455	18 558	184 050	191 505	
Denmark	2 141 289	2 141 289		2 141 289	1 1			1 4	2 267 988
Dominican Republic	27,906				27,906		892 459	950 365	-

582 2 865 237 35 304 1 517 100 954 30616 91 055 payments and 9355 2 611 credits for Advance 2011 c/ Outstanding at €0.761 196 203 395 712 2 976 8 848 20 281 542 846 398 579 177 880 435 738 497 084 449 249 196 203 395 712 10 211 11 706 175 394 Outstanding 320 531 181 Prior years 2 233 27 696 41 277 83 216 8 543 6855 2 442 58 826 2 298 and credits Payments in 2010 b/ 2 518 2 486 Outstanding at €0.761 542 846 78 048 2 976 47 835 8 848 435 557 2 479 37 264 7 356 1 645 445 18 393 779 20 584 35 195 1 299 997 1 5 012 874 1 6 328 49 439 936 6 9 845 25 453 5 896 771 5 33 140 3 006 9 512 593 614 109 095 388 673 41 993 150 715 30 000 4 995 1 080 025 Total 7 220 1 621 179 18 119 432 20 584 34 766 1 284 117 1 214 963 14 796 577 48 554 721 27 597 69 031 24 707 5 830 033 525 659 9 512 80 993 107 557 1 072 194 384 013 2 479 36 857 7 361 24 537 676 4 995 16 313 1 059 380 Payments 2010 429 15 880 21 128 216 297 1 538 13 996 4 660 407 136 24 266 274 347 20 645 885 215 814 746 66 738 7 481 41 993 150 715 4 247 47 669 3 484 payments and 3 936 394 170 3 006 512 621 683 Advance credits a/ 435 557 35 195 1 299 997 1 236 091 15 012 874 1 645 445 18 393 779 20 584 7 452 24 931 846 10 070 1 622 871 78 048 4 995 593 614 109 095 086 190 388 673 25 176 49 439 936 27 597 69 845 25 453 5 896 771 533 140 2 518 41 993 150 715 30 000 Assessed fran, Islamic Republic of Libyan Arab Jamahiriya Kenya Korea, Republic of Member State Liechtenstein Luxembourg Egypt El Salvador Kyrgyzstan Kazakhstan Guatemala Holy See Honduras Lithuania Indonesia Germany Georgia Hungary Lebanon Ecuador Greece Jamaica Lesotho Finland Iceland Ireland Eritrea Kuwait Estonia France Ghana Liberia Gabon Japan [srae] Haiti

SCHEDULE S1 (continued)

322 43 452 1 592 3 450 12 485 54 175 payments and 468 835 640 839 503 credits for Advance 2011 c/ Outstanding at 221 877 7 056 33 568 162 101 7 431 260 354 15 985 143 588 44 592 10 645 947 Total €0.761 4 496 6 045 141 102 19 742 Outstanding 106 065 247 766 5 080 368 at €0.761 Prior years 8 300 10 751 2 017 13 352 96 154 39 605 199 943 91 066 and credits Payments 3 530 644 in 2010 b/ 115 812 Outstanding at €0.761 6119 7 431 2 560 12 588 33 568 2 486 162 101 24 850 188 826 2 489 40 583 2 369 2 499 27 327 2 281 806 206 639 142 931 282 352 695 950 8 747 970 37 772 2 463 12 216 14 906 188 133 1 199 900 1 430 655 249 847 2 514 135 091 3 488 403 1 836 533 9 049 2 495 2 471 94 309 741 054 4 924 2 451 54 859 49 888 5 052 1 007 898 151 540 5 466 634 Total 2 236 40 131 2 367 2 499 26 965 2 250 889 177 289 141 121 1 184 744 1 413 327 249 847 2 469 1 133 000 3 438 287 1 812 793 278 312 686 206 8 633 215 37 230 8 913 2 450 321 92 712 2 463 11 909 14 680 49 255 5 007 4 8 7 9 149 866 185 011 5 389 626 38 673 Payments 2010 633 45 136 45 2 150 1 597 77 008 741 054 45 2 451 30 917 29 350 1 810 16 186 3 122 15 156 17 328 2 091 50 116 23 740 1 007 898 1 674 4 040 9 744 114 755 542 307 226 payments and Advance credits a/ 5 466 634 741 054 4 924 4 924 2 451 115 812 2 2281 806 142 931 2 560 5 4 859 12 588 2 489 40 583 2 531 2 499 27 327 188 826 188 133 11 199 900 1 430 655 249 847 2 514 168 659 3 488 403 9 940 49 888 5 052 2 486 1 007 898 151 540 282 352 695 950 8 747 970 37 772 24 850 5 565 579 9 049 2 495 2 471 100 488 2 463 12 317 14 906 7 455 Assessed Mauritania, Islamic Republic of Republic of Moldova Russian Federation Marshall Islands Member State Saudi Arabia Sierra Leone Slovenia South Africa Mozambique New Zealand Montenegro Netherlands Philippines Seychelles Singapore Spain Sri Lanka Nicaragua Myanmar Mauritius Mongolia Romania Morocco Portugal Namibia Paraguay Slovakia Monaco Norway Nigeria Pakistan Senegal Mexico Panama Nepal Niger Oman Poland Palan

SCHEDULE S1 (continued)

SCHEDULE S1 (continued)

			2010			Prior	Prior years	Total	Advance
Member State		Advance			Outotosalina	Payments	Outototo	Outstanding at	payments and
	Assessed	payments and credits a/	Payments	Total	Outstanding at €0.761	and credits in 2010 b/	Outstanding at €0.761	€0.761	credits for 2011 c/
Sweden	3 187 452	45 350	3 142 102	3 187 452	1		1	1	1 973
Switzerland	3 533 675	54 550	3 479 125	3 533 675	•	1	,	•	2 2 4 0
Syrian Arab Republic	38 162	1 267	36895	38 162	•		,	•	1
Tajikistan, Republic of	2 501	120	2 381	2 501	•	•		•	5 314
Thailand	442 173	442 173	,	442 173	•		,	•	533 997
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	12 586	273		273	12 313			12 313	
Tunisia	76360	1 041	75 319	76360	•	,	,	•	45
Turkey	919 182	12 754	906 428	919 182	,	•	,	•	,
Uganda	7 534	230	1 945	2 175	5 359	•	,	5 359	1
Ukraine	107 950	1 377	106 573	107 950	1	,	1	1	1
United Arab Emirates	881 822	10 677	871 145	881 822		,		,	1
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	19 306 933	278 933	19 028 000	19 306 933	1	,		,	12 231
United Republic of Tanzania	14 979	303	14 676	14 979	,	,	,	•	4 195
United States of America	76 514 720	,	61 048 832	61 048 832	15 465 888	12 899 764	,	15 465 888	1
Uruguay	67 211	1 584	65 627	67 211		•	,		39
Uzbekistan	19 956		19 956	19 956	1	41 826	291 562	291 562	1
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	484 582		113 659	113 659	370 923	371 619		370 923	
Vietnam	57 699	629	57 020	57 699			,		10 477
Yemen	17 406	1	17 406	17 406	1	208	1	1	1
Zambia	2 473	47	2 426	2 473		1			
Zimbabwe	20 149	11	20 115	20 126	23	414	1	23	1
Sub-total	298 432 802	17 646 253	255 243 329	272 889 582	25 543 220	19 021 009	9 286 980	34 830 200	23 576 326
Former Members:									
Democratic People's Republic of Korea d/		1			1	1	128 576	128 576	1
Yugoslavia e/					1		2 296 834	2 296 834	1
Sub-total	•	•	•	•	•		2 425 410	2 425 410	
GRAND TOTAL	298 432 802	17 646 253	255 243 329	272 889 582	25 543 220	19 021 009	11 712 390	37 255 610	23 576 326

These amounts reflect advance payments received in 2009, both full and partial, of 2010 Regular Budget contributions ( €14 617 160), cash surplus credits ( € 013 276) and Working Capital Fund credits ( € 5 817) applied against 2010 Regular Budget contributions.

p/

These amounts reflect payments received in 2010 (48 927 213), cash surplus credits (49 037) and Working Capital Fund credits (4959).

These amounts reflect advance payments of 2011 Regular Budget contributions (42 480 528) and cash surplus credits (495798) applied against 2011 Regular Budget contributions.

The Democratic People's Republic of Korea withdrew from membership on 13 June 1994. þ

e/ Following the approval for membership of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia on 17 September 2001, the former Yugoslavia ceased to be a Member State. Its successors are Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro, Serbia, Slovenia and The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia.

#### SCHEDULE S2

#### STATUS OF THE WORKING CAPITAL FUND AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

	2010	2009
Balance as at 1 January	15 223 013	15 204 593
Receipts/(Refunds)		
From Member States	120 145	18 420
Withdrawals		
Advance to the Regular Budget Fund	( 288 512)	
Balance as at 31 December	15 054 646	15 223 013
Established Level a/	15 210 152	15 210 000
Add: Net increase due to new Member States assessments	-	16 730
Total (Statement II/Schedule S3)	15 210 152	15 226 730
Add: Advance payments/credits from Member States (Schedule S3)	136 115	-
Less: Advance due from the Regular Budget Fund	( 288 512)	-
Due from Member States (Schedule S3)	(3 109)	( 3 717)
Balance as at 31 December	15 054 646	15 223 013

a/ Includes Cambodia's share of €152.

#### SCHEDULE S3

#### STATUS OF ADVANCES TO THE WORKING CAPITAL FUND $\mathbf{AS} \ \mathbf{AT} \ \mathbf{31} \ \mathbf{DECEMBER} \ \mathbf{2010}$

(expressed in euro)

Member State	Assessed	Paid	Outstanding	Advance payments and credits for 2011
Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of	152	152	-	2
Albania	913	913	-	-
Algeria	12 472	12 472	-	6 236
Angola Argentina	456 47 607	456 47 607	-	-
Armenia	304	304		4
Australia	261 764	261 764	-	21 598
Austria	129 893	129 893	_	-
Azerbaijan	761	761	-	7
Bahrain	4 867	4 867	-	-
Bangladesh	1 521	1 521	-	-
Belarus	2 890	2 890	-	28
Belgium	161 378	161 378	-	-
Belize	152	152	-	-
Benin	152	152	-	-
Bolivia	913	913	-	-
Bosnia and Herzegovina	913	913	-	9
Botswana	1 977	1 977	-	-
Brazil	128 372	128 372	-	-
Bulgaria	2 890	2 890	-	28
Burkina Faso	304	304	-	4
Burundi	152	-	152	-
Cambodia	152	1 260	152	-
Cameroon Canada	1 369 436 223	1 369 436 223	-	33 918
Central African Republic Chad	152 152	152	152	-
Chile	23 576	23 576	-	2 958
China	390 745	390 745	-	3 880
Colombia	15 362	15 362	_	152
Congo	152	_	152	_
Costa Rica	4 715	4 715	-	_
Côte d'Ivoire	1 369	1 369	_	-
Croatia	7 301	7 301	-	72
Cuba	7 909	7 909	-	-
Cyprus	6 388	6 388	-	80
Czech Republic	41 219	41 219	-	412
Democratic Republic of the Congo	456	456	-	-
Denmark	108 295	108 295	-	-
Dominican Republic	3 498	3 498	-	-
Ecuador	3 042	3 042	-	2 738
Egypt	12 928	12 928	-	128
El Salvador	2 890	845	2 045	-
Eritrea Estonia	152 2 282	152 2 282	-	3 498
			-	
Ethiopia	456	456	-	4
Finland	82 590	82 590	-	457
France Gabon	923 247 1 217	923 247 1 217	-	- 760
	456	456	-	760 4
Georgia	430	456	-	4

#### SCHEDULE S3 (continued)

Member State	Assessed	Paid	Outstanding	Advance payments and credits for 2011
Germany	1 256 650	1 256 650	-	-
Ghana Greece	608 87 305	608 87 305	-	-
Guatemala	4 715	4 411	304	-
Haiti	304	304	-	4
Holy See	152	152	-	-
Honduras	761	761	-	-
Hungary Iceland	35 744 5 476	35 744 5 476	-	356 69
India	65 859	65 859	-	655
Indonesia	23 576	23 576	-	234
Iran, Islamic Republic of	26 313	26 313	-	-
Iraq	2 129	2 129	-	20
Ireland Israel	65 251 61 448	65 251 61 448	-	818
Italy	744 073	744 073		
Jamaica	1 521	1 521	-	-
Japan	2 435 732	2 435 732	-	-
Jordan	1 673	1 673	-	38
Kazakhstan	4 259	4 259	-	6 844
Kenya Korea, Republic of	1 521 318 345	1 521 318 345	-	15 3 448
Kuwait	26 618	26 618	-	3446
Kyrgyzstan	152	-	152	-
Latvia	2 586	2 586	-	2 612
Lebanon	5 019	5 019	-	-
Lesotho Liberia	152 152	152 152	-	- 91
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	9 126	9 126	-	91 -
Liechtenstein	1 521	1 521	-	-
Lithuania	4 563	4 563	-	5 019
Luxembourg	12 472	12 472	-	156
Madagascar Malawi	304	304	-	-
Malaysia	152 27 834	152 27 834	-	278
Mali	152	152		304
Malta	2 434	2 434	-	-
Marshall Islands	152	152	-	-
Mauritania, Islamic Republic of	152	152	-	-
Mauritius	1 673	1 673	-	14754
Mexico Monaco	330 665 456	330 665 456	-	14 754
Mongolia	152	152	-	2
Montenegro	152	152	-	2
Morocco	6 084	6 084	-	-
Mozambique	152	152	-	2
Myanmar Namibia	761 913	761 913	-	9
Nepal	456	456	-	-
Netherlands	274 388	274 388	-	-
New Zealand	37 569	37 569	-	2 433
Nicaragua	304	304	-	4
Niger Nigeria	152 6 997	152 6 997	-	152
1 1150114	114 531	114 531	-	1 440

SCHEDULE S3 (continued)

Member State	Assessed	Paid	Outstanding	Advance payments and credits for 2011
Oman	10 647	10 647	-	1 977
Pakistan	8 670	8 670	-	85
Palau	152	152	-	-
Panama	3 346	3 346	-	-
Paraguay	761	761	-	-
Peru	11 408	11 408	-	-
Philippines	11 408	11 408	-	113
Poland	73 464	73 464	-	729
Portugal	77 267	77 267	-	-
Qatar	12 472	12 472	-	156
Republic of Moldova	152	152	-	152
Romania	10 191	10 191	-	-
Russian Federation	175 828	175 828	-	2 210
Saudi Arabia	109 512	109 512	-	1 095
Senegal	608	608	-	-
Serbia	3 042	3 042	-	30
Seychelles	304	304	-	-
Sierra Leone	152	152	-	-
Singapore	50 801	50 801	-	-
Slovakia	9 278	9 278	-	93
Slovenia	13 993	13 993	-	_
South Africa	42 436	42 436	_	423
Spain	434 854	434 854	-	5 465
Śri Lanka	2 282	2 282	-	22
Sudan	1 521	1 521	-	-
Sweden	156 967	156 967	_	_
Switzerland	178 109	178 109	_	_
Syrian Arab Republic	2 282	2 282	-	22
Tajikistan	152	152	-	152
Thailand	27 226	27 226	-	3 346
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	761	761	_	_
Tunisia	4 563	4 563	_	_
Turkey	55 821	55 821	-	555
Uganda	456	456	-	_
Ukraine	6 540	6 540	-	65
United Arab Emirates	44 261	44 261		555
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	973 136	973 136	_	-
United Republic of Tanzania	913	913	_	304
United States of America	3 802 500	3 802 500	-	-
Uruguay	3 955	3 955	-	-
Uzbekistan	1 217	1 217		_
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	29 355	29 355	-	-
Vietnam	3 498	3 498	-	1 369
Yemen	1 065	1 065	-	-
Zambia	152	152	-	115
Zimbabwe	1 217	1 217	-	-
TOTAL	15 210 152	15 207 043	3 109	136 115

#### SCHEDULE S4

#### REGULAR BUDGET FUND STATUS OF CASH SURPLUSES AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

	2010	2009
Current year		
Receipts Disbursements (Statement IV)	275 833 718 (265 249 829)	264 324 701 (257 230 971)
Excess (shortfall) of receipts over disbursements	10 583 889	7 093 730
Unliquidated obligations (Statement IV) Provision for unobligated balances of appropriations (Statements I and II)	(27 998 733) (10 689 284)	(28 389 160)
Provisional deficit	(28 104 128)	(21 295 430)
Contributions receivable (Schedule S1) Miscellaneous income receivable	25 543 220 342 360	19 583 760 207 942
Excess (shortfall) of income over expenditure (Statement I)	(2 218 548)	(1 503 728)
Disposition of prior year's provisional surplus (deficit)		
Prior year provisional deficit	(21 295 430)	(27 759 986)
Receipt of: Contributions all prior years (Schedule S1) Savings on liquidation of prior years' obligations (Statement I) Savings on unobligated balances of 2008 appropriations brought forward (Statement I) Miscellaneous income	19 021 009 1 719 181 58 786 207 942	27 195 618 327 786 - 422 164
Prior year cash surplus/(deficit) - 2009 Cash deficit	( 288 512)	185 582
Other surpluses: (Schedule S5)  Cash surpluses withheld pending receipt of contributions	202 022	243 727
Total surplus/(deficit) (Statement II)	(2 305 038)	(1 074 419)

#### SCHEDULE S5

#### REGULAR BUDGET FUND STATUS OF CASH SURPLUSES WITHHELD PENDING RECEIPT OF CONTRIBUTIONS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

Budget years	31 December 2009	Surrendered	31 December 2010
1979-1995	130 249	727	129 522
1996	41 711	22 548	19 163
1997	16 740	590	16 150
1998	22 961	10 458	12 503
1999	6 867	84	6 783
2001	2 464	254	2 210
2002	738	114	624
2004	3 760	813	2 947
2005	8 783	1 542	7 241
2007	9 454	4 750	4 704
2008	185 582	185 407	175
		_	
TOTAL (Schedule S4)	429 309	227 287	202 022

## THE REGULAR BUDGET 2010 SUMMARY BY ITEM OF EXPENDITURE AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

		(expressed in euro)				
			Expenditures			
Item of expenditure	2010 Adjusted Budget a/	Disbursements	Unliquidated obligations	Total expenditure	Unused (over-expended) balances	Balance
Salaries - established posts - P	80 048 129	73 873 721	_	73 873 721	6 174 408	_
Temporary assistance - P/MT	9 267 956	7 774 541	-	7 774 541	1 493 415	-
Temporary assistance - P/ST	275 817	770 038	-	770 038	(494 221)	-
Salaries - established posts - GS Temporary assistance - GS/MT	35 849 337 3 748 216	35 330 206 3 057 727	-	35 330 206 3 057 727	519 131 690 489	-
Temporary assistance - GS/NT Temporary assistance - GS/ST	539 965	1 253 270		1 253 270	(713 305)	
Common staff costs	53 962 949	49 777 767	-	49 777 767	4 185 182	-
Overtime	234 968	347 773	-	347 773	(112 805)	-
Sub-total: Staff costs	183 927 337	172 185 043	-	172 185 043	11 742 294	-
Travel - staff	11 834 120	10 124 526	323 003	10 447 529	1 386 591	-
Travel - non-staff	8 262 169	7 398 790	117 401	7 516 191	745 978	-
Sub-total: Travel costs	20 096 289	17 523 316	440 404	17 963 720	2 132 569	-
Interpretation Services	607 019	636 493	_	636 493	(29 474)	_
Representation and hospitality	260 552	279 658	698	280 356	(19 804)	-
Training	1 180 230	963 456	344 995	1 308 451	(128 221)	-
Equipment: leased or rented	398 874	354 113	8 969	363 082	35 792	-
Equipment: purchased/construction work	8 476 991	2 583 768	4 446 224	7 029 992	1 446 999	-
Supplies and materials	5 930 141	5 105 907	1 352 258	6 458 165	(528 024)	-
General operating expenses Contracts	8 464 051 8 534 525	5 084 677 2 869 518	1 584 482 3 179 213	6 669 159 6 048 731	1 794 892 2 485 794	-
Short-term consultants/experts	5 817 967	5 207 061	2 831 078	8 038 139	(2 220 172)	-
Research and technical contracts	5 907 159	2 404 132	2 760 751	5 164 883	742 276	_
Miscellaneous	3 626 114	3 375 419	123 315	3 498 734	127 380	-
Sub-total: Other direct costs	49 203 623	28 864 202	16 631 983	45 496 185	3 707 438	-
Contributions to the VIC Building Management Costs	10 733 460	7 100 050	3 859 094	10 959 144	( 225 684)	-
Contributions to the VIC Security Services Costs	6 524 507	4 147 239	2 144 878	6 292 117	232 390	-
Contributions to the VIC Costs	17 257 967	11 247 289	6 003 972	17 251 261	6 706	-
Direct Implementation Costs	12 726 312	13 703 457	2 245 469	15 948 926	(3 222 614)	-
Management and Operation Costs	5 133 695	4 512 973	224 108	4 737 081	396 614	-
Sub-total: Laboratory Activities	17 860 007	18 216 430	2 469 577	20 686 007	(2 826 000)	-
Translation and Records Services	5 700 088	5 228 170	65 311	5 293 481	406 607	_
Printing Services	1 796 433	1 585 197	359 515	1 944 712	(148 279)	-
Data Processing Application Services	992 436	579 581	816 755	1 396 336	(403 900)	-
Data Processing Central Services (SG fixed)	1 488 510	1 343 704	141 952	1 485 656	2 854	-
Medical Services	1 011 525	835 339	16 005	851 344	160 181	-
Radiation Protection and Monitoring Services Housing Services	1 215 413 237 325	465 574 211 776	766 574	1 232 148 211 776	( 16 735) 25 549	-
Sub-total: Shared costs	12 441 730	10 249 341	2 166 112	12 415 453	26 277	-
Transferred to Major Capital Investment Fund	_	4 100 000 b/	_	4 100 000	(4 100 000)	_
Total Operational Portion	300 786 953	262 385 621	27 712 048	290 097 669	10 689 284 c/	
	102 200	102 200	27 712 040	102 200		-
Capital Portion  Total Agency Programmes	300 889 153	262 487 821	27 712 048	290 199 869	10 689 284	-
					10 007 204	(210.470)
Reimbursable Work for Others	2 738 223	2 762 008	286 685	3 048 693	-	( 310 470)
Grand Total (Statement IV)	303 627 376	265 249 829	27 998 733	293 248 562	10 689 284	( 310 470)

a/ General Conference Resolution GC(53)/RES/6 of September 2009 - revalued at the UN average rate of exchange \$1.3248 to 1 euro.

b) In accordance with the Agency's Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010, €4.1 million was transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investment in the Control of the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investments.

c/ €10 689 284 represents the unobligated balances to be carried over into 2011 to meet programmatic needs.

d/ (€310 470) represents the costs of additional services provided to other VIC-based organizations and to projects financed from the Technical Cooperation Fund and extrabudgetary resources.

# SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE BY MAJOR CATEGORY AND FUND GROUP AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

SCHEDULE S7

(expressed in euro)

			2010				2009
		•	General Fund				
	Regular Budget Fund	Technical	Extrabudgetary Programme	Technical	Trust Funds, Reserve Funds and	TOTAL 2010	TOTAL 2009
(Alegory)	and Working Capital Fund	Fund	Fund	Extrabudgetary Fund	Special Funds		
	Fund Group I	Fund Group II	Fund Group III	Fund Group IV	Fund Group VI		
Salaries	122 647 222	-	625 365		-	123 272 587	111 305 586
Common Staff Costs	56 185 195	1	4 956 986	1	ı	61 142 181	61 016 483
Temporary Assistance	14 104 544	•	10 950 104			25 054 648	27 293 442
Total Staff Costs	192 936 961		16 532 455			209 469 416	199 615 511
Equipment	10 261 355	19 329 754	2 2 2 2 2 8 8	26 364 385	2 033 693	63 749 975	54 182 986
Transfer to Major Capital Investment Fund a/	4 100 000	1	1	1	1	4 100 000	8 738 863
Travel	18 740 276	18 620 491	9 102 780	1 398 719	39 869	47 902 135	43 863 923
Contracts		5 558 763	14 208 690	882 531	2 2 1 5	43 712 788	31 365 740
General Operating Expenses	12 037 925	812 229	116 880	26 557	118	12 993 709	12 786 281
Contribution to the VIC Building Management Costs	10 984 145	•	1 320	•	•	10 985 465	12 538 204
Contribution to the VIC Security Services Costs	6 292 117		1 235	1	ı	6 293 352	5 563 177
Training	1 450 619	15 020 200	107 564	2 461 652	ı	19 040 035	15 219 826
Supplies and Materials	8 931 315	3 074 029	544 820	5 602 931		18 153 095	11 751 738
Miscellaneous	4 453 260	921 777	2 959 704	346 217	3 217	8 684 175	8 773 512
Total Other Costs	100 311 601	63 337 243	32 803 781	37 082 992	2 079 112	235 614 729	204 784 250
TOTAL EXPENDITURE (Statement I)	293 248 562	63 337 243	49 336 236	37 082 992	2 079 112	445 084 145	404 399 761

a/ In accordance with the Agency's Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010, €4.1 million was transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investments.

b/ Includes the Capital Portion of the Regular Budget of  $\varepsilon 102\ 200.$ 

STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE TECHNICAL COOPERATION FUND AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

				0100								
		Chara of 605 0		70107					rnor years			Advance
Member State	Base rate %	Share of \$85.0 million target for 2010 using base rate a/	Pledged	Paid against pledge	Paid without a formal pledge	Outstanding	% paid of target share	Unpaid balance as at 1 January	Paid in 2010	Outstanding	Total outstanding	payments for 2011
Afahanistan Islamio Bamihlio of	0 00 0	058	,	1	058	1	1000	,	1	1	1	
Angualistan, Islaniic Nepuone of	0.001	000	001.5	901.9	0.00		100.0	' '	1 2			
Albania	0.000	2 100	2 100	2 100			100.0	2 100	001 c			
Algeria	0.082	002 69	002 69	002 69			100.0					109 811
Angola	0.003	2 550	20 000			20 000	0.0				20 000	
Argentina	0.313	266 050	266 050	266 050	,	,	100.0	,	,			74 335
Armenia	0.002	1 700			1 700		100.0					
Australia	1.721	1 462 850			1 462 850		100.0					
Austria	0.854	725 900	490 000	490 000	235 900		100.0					
Azerbaijan	0.005	4 250				,	0.0	3 895	3 895			
Bahrain	0.032	27 200			,	•	0.0	,	,	,		
Bangladesh	0.010	8 500	8 500	8 360	,	140	98.4	,		,	140	
Belarus	0.019	16 150	16 150	16 150			100.0					
Belgium	1.061	901 850	51 567	51 567	446 150		55.2					
Belize	0.001	850					0.0	•		,		
Benin	0.001	850	•	,	,	,	0.0	470	,	470	470	,
Bolivia	900:0	5 100					0.0					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	900.0	5 100	5 100	5 100			100.0	,		,		
Botswana	0.013	11 050	11 050		,	11 050	0.0	800	,	800	11 850	
Brazil	0.844	717 400	717 400	115 691		601 709	16.1	,	,	,	601 709	
Bulgaria	0.019	16 150	16 150	16 150			100.0	•				-
Burkina Faso	0.002	1 700					0.0			-	-	
Burundi	0.001	850			,	•	0.0	,	,	,		
Cambodia	0.001	850					,	•				
Cameroon	0.009	7 650	7 650			7 650	0.0	•			7 650	
Canada	2.868	2 437 800	2 460 000			2 460 000	0.0	2 369 942	2 369 942		2 460 000	
Central African Republic	0.001	850		,	,	,	0.0	850	٠	850	850	
Chad	0.001	850					0.0					
Chile	0.155	131 750	131 750	131 750			100.0			,		
China	2.569	2 183 650	2 183 650	2 183 650			100.0					
Colombia	0.101	000 000	000 000	000 09			69.9					397
Congo	0.001	850				,	0.0	•		,		
Costa Rica	0.031	26 350	,			,	0.0	•	•	,		
Côte d'Ivoire	0.009	7 650	7 650			7 650	0.0	•	•	•	7 650	
Croatia	0.048	40 800	,			,	0.0					
Cuba	0.052	44 200		'	44 200	'	100.0	,	,			58 480
Cyprus	0.042	35 700	35 700	35 700	,	•	100.0	,		,	,	•
Czech Republic	0.271	230 350	230 350	230 350			100.0	•		,		
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.003	2 550	2 550	2 550	,	•	100.0	4 445	4 445	,	•	2 679
Denmark	0.712	605 200	605 200	605 200			100.0					632 976
Dominican Republic	0.023	19 550	'	·	,		0.0	'	,	1		

payments for 2011 15 159 33 032 893 Advance 5 200 487 900 24 900 1 600 11 900 5 000 outstanding Total 24 900 1 600 1 800 2 554 Paid in 2010 Outstanding 10 200 Prior years þ Unpaid balance as at 1 January 1 800 -24 900 1 600 % paid of target share 338.6 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 50.2 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 63.2 100.0 100.0 100.0 95.0 100.0 100.0 3 400 487 900 11 900 5 000 1 700 850 Outstanding Paid without a formal pledge - 199 750 364 650 172 225 13 611 900 1 779 050 148 750 537 4 158 200 4 901 525 5 874 222 30 600 368 050 2 550 461 550 8 500 14 450 850 Paid against pledge 0089 30 600 368 050 <u>-</u> 11 900 9 350 23 800 8 500 14 450 5 000 850 2 550 461 550 - 800 3 400 487 900 2 878 131 750 Pledged million target for 2010 using base rate 131 750 147 050 11 900 364 650 343 400 4 158 200 8 500 13 611 900 9 350 23 800 2 550 461 550 5 159 500 6 800 7 022 700 3 400 487 900 26 350 1 700 850 4 250 199 750 30 600 368 050 8 500 1 779 050 148 750 850 14 450 28 050 850 850 51 000 8 500 Share of \$85.0 Base rate % 0.155 0.013 0.014 0.429 0.404 4.892 0.010 16.014 0.010 0.028 0.010 0.017 0.001 0.033 0.033 0.033 0.060 0.001 0.001 0.020 0.085 0.019 0.001 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.001 0.005 0.235 0.036 0.433 Indonesia Iran, Islamic Republic of Iraq Ireland Libyan Arab Jamahiriya Kenya Korea, Republic of Kuwait Luxembourg Madagascar Malawi Malaysia Member State Ecuador Egypt El Salvador Eritrea Kyrgyzstan Kazakhstan Guatemala Holy See Honduras Hungary Iceland Lithuania Germany Ghana Greece Lebanon Lesotho Ethiopia Finland France Gabon

payments for 2011 71 502 50 460 Advance 39 100 56 950 6 800 53 302 outstanding Total 39 100 850 Paid in 2010 Outstanding 2 550 3 225 437 553 Prior years þ/ þ/ Unpaid balance as at 1 January 2 550 437 553 39 100 3 225 0.0 98.1 100.0 0.0 % paid of target share 0.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 107.8 100.0 80.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 78.2 100.0 0.0 31.8 100.0 50.0 100.0 0.0 56 950 53 302 Outstanding 850 13 600 63 750 -768 730 612 000 1 700 850 1 215 075 Paid without a formal pledge 1 700 2 550 850 850 850 34 000 4 168 5 100 640 050 59 500 48 450 5 000 410 550 345 440 17 000 283 900 51 850 Paid against pledge 850 533 400 2010 4 250 5 100 59 500 48 450 2 550 850 850 34 000 850 640 050 5 000 410 550 345 440 850 56 950 283 900 51 850 78 200 237 150 17 000 850 Pledged million target for 2010 using base rate 1 847 900 2 550 850 850 850 34 000 850 4 250 5 100 2 550 533 400 850 13 600 850 850 9 350 209 950 1 700 850 39 100 640 050 59 500 48 450 850 18 700 4 250 63 750 63 750 410 550 431 800 69 700 850 56 950 982 600 612 000 3 400 17 000 1 700 850 283 900 51 850 78 200 237 150 2 430 150 12 750 8 500 Share of \$85.0 Base rate % 0.001 0.016 0.001 0.001 2.174 0.003 0.001 0.001 0.040 0.001 0.005 0.006 0.003 1.804 0.247 0.002 0.001 0.046 0.753 0.070 0.057 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.075 0.075 0.483 0.508 0.082 0.001 0.067 1.156 0.720 0.004 0.020 0.002 0.001 0.334 0.061 0.092 0.279 2.859 0.015 Mali Malta Marshall Islands Mauritania, Islamic Republic of Republic of Moldova Romania Russian Federation Saudi Arabia Serbia Seychelles Sierra Leone New Zealand Nicaragua Slovenia South Africa Member State Mozambique Peru Philippines Poland Montenegro Netherlands Singapore Slovakia Spain Sri Lanka Sudan Monaco Mongolia Myanmar Namibia Oman Pakistan Palau Panama Mexico Morocco Niger Nigeria Senegal Portugal Vorway Nepal

SCHEDULE S8 (continued)

SCHEDULE S8 (continued)

				2010					Prior years			
Member State	Base rate %	Share of \$85.0 million target for 2010 using base rate a/	Pledged	Paid against pledge	Paid without a formal pledge	Outstanding	% paid of target share	Unpaid balance as at 1 January	Paid in 2010	Paid in 2010 Outstanding	Total outstanding	Advance payments for 2011
Sweden	1.032	877 200			877 200		100.0					
Switzerland	1.171	995 350	962 451	962 451		,	2.96				•	368
Syrian Arab Republic	0.015	12 750	12 750	12 750			100.0				•	,
Tajikistan	0.001	850	850	850			100.0					1 785
Thailand	0.179	152 150	152 150	152 150			100.0					179 447
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.005	4 250	4 250			4 250	0.0				4 250	,
Tunisia	0.030	25 500	25 500	25 500			100.0					14 948
Turkey	0.367	311 950			311 950		100.0	•	•			,
Uganda	0.003	2 550	2 550	2 550	•		100.0	•	•		•	5 357
Ukraine	0.043	36 550			36 550		100.0					
United Arab Emirates	0.291	247 350	247 350	247 350	,	,	100.0	,			,	,
United Kingdom of Great Britain												
and Northern Ireland	6.398	5 438 300			5 438 300		100.0					,
United Republic of Tanzania	900.0	5 100	5 100	5 100			100.0					,
United States of America	25.000	21 250 000	21 000 000	21 000 000			8.86					,
Uruguay	0.026	22 100	22 100	22 100			100.0					
Uzbekistan	0.008	008 9			008 9		100.0					
Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of	0.193	164 050					0.0					,
Vietnam	0.023	19 550	19 550	19 550			100.0	•	•			,
Yemen	0.007	5 950	•	,	•		0.0	•	•			,
Zambia	0.001	850	850	850	,	,	100.0	,	,		,	,
Zimbabwe	0.008	008 9	008 9	008 9			100.0					٠
Sub-total	100.001	85 000 850	35 648 236	31 901 953	42 804 497	3 746 283	87.9	2 917 462	2 837 738	79 724	3 826 007	1 372 825
Former Members:												
Democratic People's												
Republic of Korea c/	,			,		•	,	29 635	•	29 635	29 635	•
Yugoslavia d/	'			,	,	١	,	834 026		834 026	834 026	
Sub-total			•	1	•	,		863 661		863 661	863 661	-
GRAND TOTAL	100.001	85 000 850	35 648 236	31 901 953	42 804 497	3 746 283	87.9	3 781 123	2 837 738	943 385	4 689 668	1 372 825
Statements I and II (in euro)			27 928 590	25 077 669	31 847 944	2 850 921		2 685 982	1 968 066	717 916	3 568 837	1 044 720

a/ As recommended in GC(VV)/RES/100 and amended in GC(XV)/RES/286.
 b/ Pledged/paid a contribution in 2010 relating to 2009: Mexico - \$437 553; Nepal - \$2550; Sierra Leone - \$850; relating to 2008: Sierra Leone - \$800; relating to 2007: Sierra Leone - \$800; relating to 2008: El Salvador - \$15 200.
 c/ Cancelled pledge in 2010 relating to 2008: El Salvador - \$15 200.
 c/ The Democratic People's Republic of Korea withdrew from the Agency on 13 June 1994.
 d/ Following the approval for membership of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia on 17 September 2001, the former Yugoslavia ceased to be a Member State. Its successors are Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro, Serbia Slovenia and The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia.

## TECHNICAL COOPERATION PROGRAMME STATUS OF ASSESSED PROGRAMME COSTS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

		1984-2002	
Recipients	Unpaid balance as at 1 January	Paid in 2010	Outstanding
Armenia a/	61 936	15 078	46 858
Bolivia	278 078	-	278 078
Côte d'Ivoire	52 053	-	52 053
Dominican Republic a/	204 612	-	204 612
El Salvador	13 146	-	13 146
Ghana a/	236 657	78 887	157 770
Guatemala a/	146 518	-	146 518
Iraq	56 145	-	56 145
Kyrgyzstan	9 021	-	9 021
Paraguay	74 259	-	74 259
Peru	456 388	13 944	442 444
Qatar	2 162	-	2 162
Romania	51 899	-	51 899
Sri Lanka	281 812	41 186	240 626
Tunisia a/	20 077	20 077	-
Uzbekistan a/	83 721	41 860	41 861
Sub-total	2 028 484	211 032	1 817 452
Former Members:			
Democratic People's Republic of Korea b/	39 712	-	39 712
Serbia and Montenegro c/	1 302	-	1 302
Outstanding arrears:			
Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Slovenia,			
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia,			
Serbia and Montenegro c/	381 410	-	381 410
TOTAL	2 450 908	211 032	2 239 876
Statements I and II (in euro)	1 863 489	158 943	1 704 546

- a/ Payment plan agreements concluded with the Agency.
- b/ The Democratic People's Republic of Korea withdrew from the Agency on 13 June 1994.
- c/ Following the approval for membership of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia on 17 September 2001, the former Yugoslavia ceased to be a Member State. For the period prior to 1992, unpaid assessed programme costs resulting from technical assistance provided to the former Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia amounted to \$381 410. Subsequent to that date, additional technical assistance was provided to the former Yugoslavia for which \$1 302 assessed programme costs remain unpaid. Since that time, no technical assistance was provided to the former Yugoslavia.

# TECHNICAL COOPERATION PROGRAMME STATUS OF NATIONAL PARTICIPATION COSTS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

		2010			2005-2009				
Member	Assessed	Paid in 2010 Outstanding	Outstanding	Unpaid balance as at 1 January	Paid in 2010	Outstanding	Total paid in 2010	Total outstanding	Advance payments/ credits for 2011
Albania	(1373)	(1373)		1 373	1 373	1			ı
Algeria	11 590	11 590	ı	41 767		1	53 357	1	1
Argentina	8 535	8 535		•			8 535		
Armenia	1 806	1 806	ı	66 373	64 902	1 471	902 99	1 471	ı
Azerbaijan	3 703	3 703	1	133		1	3 836		1
Belarus	1 348	1 348	ı	51 654			53 002	•	ı
Belize			1	368		368		368	
Bolivia	333	333	1	22 660	22 660	1	22 993	1	1 537
Bosnia and Herzegovina	(13 827)	(13827)	ı	1	1	1	(13 827)		13 827
Botswana	662		662					662	
Brazil	9 749	9 749	•	ı	•	1	9 749	1	4 189
Bulgaria	3 896	3 896	1				3 896	,	
Cameroon	6 034	1 257	4 777	42 577	6 882	35 695	8 139	40 472	1
Chile	5 477	5 477	ı	ı	1	ı	5 477	1	1
China	(112)	(112)		1 200	1 200		1 088		112
Colombia	(1999)	(1999)	1	7 310	6 602	708	4 603	708	ı
Costa Rica	1 266	1 266	1	ı	1	1	1 266	1	1
Côte d'Ivoire	2 896	1	2 896	1	1	1	1	2 896	1
Croatia	3 287	3 287	1				3 287		
Cuba	39 436	39 436	ı	1	ı	1	39 436	1	14 995
Cyprus	2 577	2 577	,	,			2 577	1	ı
Czech Republic	223	223	1	22	22	1	245	1	1
Dominican Republic	45		45	21 745	1	21 745	1	21 790	1
Ecuador	23 089	22 177	912	18 361	201	18 160	22 378	19 072	ı
Egypt	7 132	5 932	1 200	1		1	5 932	1 200	1
El Salvador	1 722	ı	1 722	5 793	•	5 793	1	7 515	ı
Estonia	1 520	1 520	1		•		1 520		1
Gabon	100	100	1	1	1	1	100		19 333
Georgia	1 476	1 476	1			ı	1 476	ı	- 000
Olialia	7 731	7 73 1		161 07	767 07		47.077		107

		2010			2005-2009				
Member	Assessed	Paid in 2010 Outstanding	Outstanding	Unpaid balance as at 1 January	Paid in 2010	Outstanding	Total paid in 2010	Total outstanding	Advance payments/ credits for 2011
Greece Guatemala	(3 301)	(3 301)	1 806	8 473	1 1	8 473	(3301)	10 279	3 301
Honduras	70	1	70	)	ı	) - -	1	70	1
Hungary	(1917)	(1917)		1 107	1 107	ı	(810)	1	4 560
Indonesia	669	669	1	1	1	1	669	1	
Iran, Islamic Republic of	371	371	ı	717	717	1	1 088	ı	3
Iraq	2 197	ı	2 197	992		893	1	3 189	1
Israel Iamaica	4, '		4 '	22 822	5 561	17.261	5 561	49 17 261	
Jordan	2 471	2 471	1	1 - 1	100		2 471	-	ı
Kazakhstan	3 387	3 387	1	1	1	1	3 387	1	6 120
Kenya	11 996	11 996	1	1	1	1	11 996	ı	1
Korea, Republic of	332	ı	332	9 9 2 8	ı	9 9 2 8	1	10 260	ı
Kuwait	975	ı	975	17 127	17 127	- 10	17 127	975	ı
Kyrgyzstan	330		330	81 86 /	1	81 86/		82.203	
Latvia	ı	ı	ı		ı	1	1	ı	756
Lebanon	819	1	819	ı	1	1	ı	628	1
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	12 409	12 409	ı	1	1	1	12 409	1	1
Lithuania	501	501	ı	ı	ı	1	501	ı	1
Malaysia	8.20	870	1		1	ı	870	1	ı
Mauritius	3 804	3 804	ı		ı	•	3 804	1	3 078
Mexico	(14746)	(14746)	ı	31 131	31 131	1	16385	ı	1
Mongolia	1	1	ı		1 1	1	1		154
Montenegro Morocco	1 228	1 228	1 1	44 789 10 864	6 232 10 864	38 557	6 232 12 092	38 557	7 721
Namibia	2 759	2 759		1	1	1	2 759		1
Nicaragua	829	829	ı	133	133	1	811	1	1
Nigeria	9 743	9 743	1	11 222	11 222	1	20 965	ı	ı
Oman Pakistan	29 096 8 583	29 096 8 583	1 1	- 48 500	- 48 500	1 1	29 096 57 083		2 040
Danama	138	1 138	1			1	2007	1	2197
т апапла Ратаопау	18 876	901 1	18 876	11 428		11 428	0011	30 304	C+ 0 44
Peru	2 206	2 206				1	2 206		1
Philippines Poland	84 9 461	84 4 248	5 213	- 11 281	' '	11 281	84 4 248	- 16 494	1 1
Lotalid	101	) ) 	0 410	107 11	=	10711	O F → F	エンエ フェ	

8029 9 096 2 655 123 688 8 063 1 259 162 533 25 883 4 211 payments/ credits for Advance 2011 outstanding 5 018 51 55 447 11 350 1 264 8 820 16 084 405 112 308 290 404 549 (9 096) (2 655) 51 625 1 564 (1259) 45 507 (4211)Total paid in 2010 988 17 975 3 519 18 057 1 840 -840 8 024 683 51 826 6 175 42 153 6 887 785 289 585 640 Outstanding 16 054 355 062 55 447 11 014 8 820 270 202 17 560 50 745 542 814 2005-2009 1 480 45 832 63 29 931 40 464 405 620 12 Paid in 2010 928 268 balance as at 675 822 45 832 50 745 55 447 28 574  $\frac{1}{8} \frac{480}{820}$ 63 29 931 16 054 40 464 1 January Unpaid 336 Paid in 2010 Outstanding 50 050 38 088 404 537 (9 096) (2 655) (4211)8 024 683 1 081 1 259) 242 475 -988 415 360 -840 5 793 1 564 3 519 18 057 6 112 12 222 5 043 6 887 180 020 2010 537 (9096) (2655) 5793 1564 (4 211) 404 5 018 51 988 751 1 264 1 259) 292 525 3 519 18 057 360 - 840 8 024 683 1 081 6 112 12 222 30 6 887 218 108 Assessed Thailand The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia Venezuela, Bolivarian Republic of Statement I and II (in euro) Syrian Arab Republic Republic of Moldova United Arab Emirates Serbia, Republic of Russian Federation Saudi Arabia South Africa Uzbekistan Singapore Seychelles Zimbabwe Sri Lanka Tajikistan Romania TOTAL Slovakia Slovenia Turkey Ukraine Uruguay Member Portugal Vietnam Tunisia Qatar

SCHEDULE S9b (continued)

## CURRENT ACCOUNTS AT BANKS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

Local currency	Amount in local currency	UN operational exchange rate	Euro equivalent
Agency Funds	ioear currency	exeminge rate	
Australian dollars	91 685	1.296	70 763
Canadian dollars	194 042	1.314	147 666
Chinese yuan renminbi	7 455 775	8.712	855 784
Cuban pesos	972 335	1.314	739 947
Democratic People's Republic of Korea won	1 631 579	128.909	12 657
Euro	644 602	1.000	644 602
Indian rupees	8 899	59.529	149
Pakistani rupees	736 346	112.063	6 571
Polish zlotys	12 569	3.968	3 167
United Kingdom pounds	186 793	0.852	219 366
United States dollars	1 857 490	1.31406	1 413 550
TOTAL CURRENT ACCOUNTS AT BANK	XS .		4 114 222

NOTE: The free use by the Agency of some currencies is legally or otherwise restricted. At year-end, the euro equivalent of these currencies is  $\le$  608 388 based on the respective United Nations rate of exchange.

## DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS AT BANKS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Interest	Maturity	A	mount in	UN operational	EUR
Deposit	rate p.a.	date	loc	al currency	exchange rate	equivalent
Agency Funds						
S.E. Banken London	0.24 %	2011-01-04	USD	5 000 000	0.761	3 805 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.22 %	2011-01-14	USD	7 000 000	0.761	5 327 000
BNP Paribas Paris	0.50 %	2011-01-14	EUR	10 900 000	1.000	10 900 000
Nordea Bank AB (Publ)	0.55 %	2011-01-14	USD	1 900 000	0.761	1 445 900
Nordea Bank AB (Publ)	0.55 %	2011-01-14	USD	12 000 000	0.761	9 132 000
Rabobank	0.22 %	2011-01-14	USD	3 100 000	0.761	2 359 100
Rabobank	0.22 %	2011-01-14	USD	1 000 000	0.761	761 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.32 %	2011-01-28	EUR	10 000 000	1.000	10 000 000
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi London	0.50 %	2011-02-01	EUR	4 000 000	1.000	4 000 000
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi London	0.50 %	2011-02-01	EUR	4 800 000	1.000	4 800 000
Barclays Bank	0.66 %	2011-02-01	EUR	15 200 000	1.000	15 200 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.22 %	2011-02-24	USD	9 000 000	0.761	6 849 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.20 %	2011-02-24	USD	4 150 000	0.761	3 158 150
Bank for International Settlements	0.20 %	2011-02-24	USD	4 000 000	0.761	3 044 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.21 %	2011-02-28	USD	13 000 000	0.761	9 893 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.21 %	2011-02-28	USD	2 000 000	0.761	1 522 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.51 %	2011-03-01	EUR	13 000 000	1.000	13 000 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.51 %	2011-03-01	EUR	3 200 000	1.000	3 200 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.37 %	2011-03-24	EUR	15 500 000	1.000	15 500 000
Bank for International Settlements	0.61 %	2011-04-15	EUR	5 400 000	1.000	5 400 000
JPMorgan Chase New York	0.40 %	Call	USD	38 495 504	0.761	29 295 078
TOTAL DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS						158 591 228

## TRIPLE-A GOVERNMENT MONEY MARKET FUNDS (MMF) AND TREASURY BILLS

## AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

Instrument	Interest rate p.a.	Maturity date		mount in currency a/	UN operational exchange rate	EUR equivalent
Agency Funds						
JPM Euro Government Liquidity Fund	%	MMF	EUR	48 310 000	1.000	48 310 000
US Treasury Bills	0.125 %	2011-03-10	US\$	49 654 306	0.761	37 786 927
US Treasury Bills	0.135 %	2011-03-24	US\$	17 493 569	0.761	13 312 606
US Treasury Bills	0.120 %	2011-03-31	US\$	36 000 077	0.761	27 396 058
TOTAL DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS						126 805 591

a/ The amount for US Treasury Bills under this column represents the purchase price.

## CASH IN HAND, CURRENT AND DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS AT BANKS AND OTHER CASH EQUIVALENTS BY FUND GROUP AND FUNDS

## AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

(expressed in euro)

Func	d Group	Cash in hand	Current and Deposit Accounts and other Cash Equivalents	Total
I	Regular Budget Fund and Working Capital Fund	221 930	56 515 087	56 737 017
II	Technical Cooperation Fund	-	48 482 228	48 482 228
III	Extrabudgetary Programme Fund a/	-	132 923 679	132 923 679
IV	Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Fund	-	47 919 596	47 919 596
VI	Trust Funds, Reserve Funds and Special Funds	-	3 670 452	3 670 452
	TOTAL (Statement II)	221 930	289 511 042	289 732 972

a/ Includes €41.6 million for the proposed IAEA Low Enriched Uranium (LEU) bank which was approved by the Board of Governors in December 2010 (GOV/2010/70).

## **PART IV**

## **Notes to the Financial Statements**

## A. Statement of the Agency's objectives

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) is an autonomous intergovernmental organization founded in 1957 in accordance with a decision of the General Assembly of the United Nations. The IAEA is part of the United Nations Common System and the relationship with the United Nations is regulated by the "Agreement Governing the Relationship between the United Nations and the International Atomic Energy Agency" which came into force on 14 November 1957. Its statutory mandate is to seek to accelerate and enlarge the contribution of atomic energy to peace, health and prosperity throughout the world and to ensure, so far as it is able, that assistance provided by it or at its request or under its supervision or control is not used in such a way as to further any military purpose.

## **B.** Significant accounting policies

## **B.1.** Basis of presentation

- The financial statements of the Agency are presented in euro. They reflect the application of the IAEA's financial regulations and rules and they comply with the United Nations System Accounting Standards in all material respects.
- It should be noted that resulting from the decision of the Board of Governors<sup>1</sup> the Agency is in the process of implementing the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS). Full implementation is expected in 2011. All accounting policies will be affected one way or another. The most material effects will be in fixed assets recognition (Notes B.10 and R), liability recognition (Notes V and W), expenditure recognition (Note B.6) and contributions in kind (Notes B.12 and O).
- For the preparation of the Agency's consolidated financial statements, those funds that are US dollar denominated funds are translated into euro. The methodology used for this translation is described in Note B.2 below.

<sup>1</sup> Document GOV/2007/10 approved by the Board of Governors at its meetings held on 14 June and 9 July 2007,

<sup>(</sup>GOV/OR.1188, paras 135, 136; GOV/OR.1189, paras 16, 17).

## **B.2.** Translation and conversion of currencies

- 5. In preparing the Agency's consolidated financial statements, the financial statements of the United States dollar based funds were translated into euro using generally accepted accounting practices as follows:
- Income, expenditure and changes in reserves and fund balances were stated in euro terms by applying the United Nations rate of exchange applicable at the date of the transaction.
- Assets and liabilities were converted to the euro equivalent using the United Nations rate of exchange at year end.
- All resulting exchange differences arising from the above methodology are classified as a component of fund balances as currency translation adjustments.

## **B.3. Fund grouping**

- 6. The Agency maintains separate accounts for each Fund which are combined into five groups for reporting purposes. The Funds are established on the basis of resolutions passed by the General Conference and are administered in accordance with the Financial Regulations adopted by the Board of Governors, Financial Rules which are issued by the Director General, and procedures and practices established by the Secretariat in conformity thereto.
- 7. The purpose of Fund group I (Regular Budget Fund and Working Capital Fund) is to meet the obligations of the Agency arising from authorized appropriations. The Regular Budget Fund is based on an annual Regular Budget approved by the General Conference and financed from assessed contributions and miscellaneous income. The Working Capital Fund, which serves to finance appropriations pending the receipt of contributions, and for purposes which are determined from time to time by the Board of Governors with the approval of the General Conference, is financed from advances from Member States.
- 8. The purpose of Fund group II (General Fund Technical Cooperation Fund) is to meet the obligations related to the approved technical cooperation programme. Fund group II is based on General Conference approved one-year allocations which are financed from voluntary contributions, assessed programme costs, national participation costs and miscellaneous income. Unused funds may be carried forward for the completion of the approved programme.
- 9. The purpose of Fund group III (General Fund Extrabudgetary Programme Fund) is to meet the obligations related to extrabudgetary activities in support of the Regular Programme. Fund group III is financed from special voluntary contributions from donor countries and international organizations. They are available for the approved programmes until they are actually used, and in consultation with the donor concerned.
- 10. The purpose of Fund group IV (General Fund Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Fund) is to meet the obligations related to extrabudgetary activities of approved technical cooperation projects. Fund group IV is financed from special voluntary contributions from Member States, international organizations and the United Nations Development Programme, which are available for the approved projects until they are actually used, and in consultation with the donor.
- 11. The purpose of Fund group VI (Trust Funds, Reserve Funds and Special Funds) is to meet the obligations related to activities financed from their respective resources.

## **B.4.** Income recognition

## **B.4.1. Fund group I**

12. Assessments from Member States and miscellaneous income (work for others) are recorded on an accrual basis. With regard to other miscellaneous income, for the purpose of calculating surpluses to be returned to Member States, only that portion which is actually received in cash at the year-end is included in the financial statements.

## **B.4.2. Fund group II**

13. Voluntary contributions from Member States are recorded on an accrual basis. Miscellaneous income and income from assessed programme and national participation costs are recorded on a cash basis.

## B.4.3. Fund groups III, IV and VI

14. Income in these Fund groups is recorded on a cash basis.

## **B.5.** Cash management

15. In the financial statements cash balances are reported separately by Fund group. Amounts due between Funds or Fund groups are settled by adjusting the cash holdings for each Fund group. Interest income is recorded to the relevant Fund group.

## **B.6.** Expenditure recognition

- 16. Expenditure comprises disbursements and unliquidated obligations incurred in respect of the current budget year.
- 17. Obligations are engagements involving a liability against resources for which expenditure authority has been given. Unliquidated obligations are that portion of obligations that are not yet paid. Obligations are recorded in accordance with the Financial Regulations and Rules on the basis of contracts, purchase orders, agreements or other forms of legal undertaking, or based upon a liability recognized by the Agency.

## **B.7.** Split appropriation/assessment system

- 18. The split appropriation/assessment system was introduced in 1986 to reduce the Agency's exposure to the effects of currency exchange rate fluctuations on Regular Budget expenditure. Each year, the General Conference approves a budget for the Agency which is allocated in appropriation sections. The Director General may incur expenditure within the limits stated in the appropriation sections and for the purposes for which they were voted. He cannot make transfers between any of the sections without the prior approval of the Board of Governors. The amount in each section comprises a euro component and a US dollar component expressed as a euro equivalent on the basis of the average US dollar-to-euro United Nations Rate of Exchange experienced during the budget year. Therefore, the authority granted by the General Conference, expressed in euro, can only be determined at the end of the budget year.
- 19. Member States are assessed in accordance with the scale of assessment fixed by the General Conference. Individual assessments are established with a component in euro and a component in US dollars. These components are in direct proportion to the respective shares of the Regular Budget expenditure linked to the two currencies.

## **B.8.** Transactions in foreign currencies

- 20. Transactions in foreign currencies are recorded in euro for all euro based funds and in US dollars for all US dollars based funds at the United Nations Rate of Exchange in effect on the date of the transaction.
- 21. The treatment of exchange gains and losses in terms of the respective functional currency is as follows:

## **B.8.1.** Fund groups I and II

- Realized gains and losses resulting from the purchase of other currencies and the liquidation of accounts receivable and payable are credited or charged to miscellaneous income for each of these Fund groups,
- Unrealized net gains resulting from the revaluation of balance sheet are recorded as a provision on the balance sheet, whereas net losses are charged to miscellaneous income for each of these Fund groups, and
- Unrealized gains and losses relating to the revaluation of unliquidated obligations are recorded as adjustments to the corresponding programme expenditure for each of these Fund groups.

## **B.8.2. Fund groups III, IV and VI**

For reporting purposes, all net gains and losses (realized and unrealized) in Fund groups III, IV and VI are included within their respective fund group.

## B.9. Cash surpluses/deficits and fund balances

- 22. For Fund group I, cash surpluses are allocated to Member States in accordance with the scale of contributions for the year to which they relate. The allocation is applied to Member States who have paid their respective contributions in full. Cash deficits are covered temporarily by advances from the Working Capital Fund pending receipt of prior years' assessed contributions.
- 23. For Fund groups II, III, IV and VI, Fund balances represent the net assets or liabilities of the Funds. These balances are carried forward to future periods.

## **B.10.** Capital assets

24. Capital assets are charged to expenditure in the year of acquisition. However, inventory records are maintained for all non-expendable equipment, supplies and materials over  $\bigcirc$  000 or of a sensitive nature and a minimum estimated useful life of five years, or three years in the case of computer hardware. The value of the inventory is disclosed in Note R below.

## B.11. Uncollected assessments and contributions received in advance

- 25. A reserve for uncollected assessments in the amount of contributions outstanding for longer than a year is shown as a deduction from available surpluses on the balance sheet. The related income is not adjusted.
- 26. Contributions received in advance are considered a liability owed to the donor when initially received, and are recorded as income in the following year.

## **B.12.** Contributions in kind

27. Contributions in kind — in the form of human resources, equipment, meetings and fellowships offered by Member States, the United Nations, other international organizations and non-governmental sources — are not recorded in the accounts of the Agency. However, estimates of such contributions are disclosed in Note Q below.

## **B.13.** Services without charge

28. The Agency provides administrative and audit services to certain other euro based Funds without charge.

## C. Pension fund participation

- 29. The Agency is a member organization participating in the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund, (UNJSPF), which was established by the United Nations General Assembly to provide retirement, death, disability and related benefits. The Pension Fund is a funded defined benefit plan. The financial obligations of the Agency to the UNJSPF consists of its mandated contribution at the rate established by the United Nations General Assembly together with any share of any actuarial deficiency payment under Article 26 of the Regulation of the Fund. Such deficiency payments are only payable if and when the United Nations General Assembly has invoked the provision of Article 26, following determination that there is a requirement for deficiency payment based on an assessment of the actuarial sufficiency of the Fund as of the valuation date.
- 30. At the time of this report the United Nations General Assembly has not invoked this provision.

## D. Common Fund for Major Repairs and Replacements

- 31. On 1 January 1981 an agreement between the Republic of Austria, the United Nations and the IAEA went into effect to establish a Common Fund for the purpose of financing the cost of major repairs and replacements of buildings, facilities and technical installations which are the property of the Republic of Austria and form part of the headquarters areas of the United Nations and the IAEA at the Vienna International Centre. This agreement has applied to the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) since 1986 when it became an independent organization.
- 32. As at 31 December 2010 the balance of the Fund, which is administered by UNIDO, was € 416 484 (2009: €1 976 506).

## E. Other/Miscellaneous income by major categories

	2010	2009
(a) Revenue producing activities		
Publications of the Agency-INIS	16 651	27 559
Publications of the Agency-Other	184 728	192 397
Laboratory income	264 081	198 386
Amounts recoverable from safeguards agreements	129 208	324 603
Total (Statement I)	594 668	742 945
(b) Jointly financed activities		
Data processing services	317 248	345 606
Printing services	997 674	979 741
Medical services	689 908	726 227
Other financial services	157 890	142 540
Radiation protection and monitoring services	106 861	105 129
Translation services	173 772	210 401
Nuclear Fusion journal	180 935	155 219
Laboratory services	352 788	216 660
Marine Environmental Laboratory Services	31 381	21 027
Joint housing services	40 236	-
Total (Statement I)	3 048 693	2 902 550

## F. Expenditure (Statement I)

33. Total expenditure of €63 337 243 for the Technical Cooperation Fund excludes obligations amounting to €2 909 718 against future year project budgets (2009: €3 162 912).

## G. Prior period adjustments

	2010	2009
(a) Regular Budget Fund:		
Excess / (shortfall) of assessment collection over previous		
year outstanding assessed contributions		
Total (Statement I)	( 562 751)	(1 403 861)
(b) <u>Technical Cooperation Fund</u> :		
Pledges and adjustments to pledges related to		
prior years' programmes		
Total (Statement I)	362 357	738 779

## H. Credits to Member States

34. Cash surpluses surrendered amounted to €227 287 (2009: €4 523 832) (Statement I). This comprises the 2008 cash surplus in the amount of €185 407 and prior years' cash surpluses in the amount of €41 880.

## I. Reserves

## I.1. Transfers to reserves

35. An amount of €4 100 000 was transferred to the Reserve for the Major Capital Investment Fund in 2010 in accordance with the Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010 (Statement IV).

## I.2. Transfers from reserves

- 36. An amount of €3 980 700 in the Reserve for the Major Capital Investment Fund was used to meet the expenditure incurred during 2010 under the Major Capital Investment Fund (Note AB).
- 37. Net transfers during the year amounted to €19 300 (Statement I). The final balance of the reserve at the year end amounted to €11 252 300 (Statement II and Note AB).

## J. Trust Funds, Reserve Funds and Special Funds (Statement I)

38. Fund group VI contains one Trust Fund, one Reserve Fund and one Special Fund as follows:

	Funds Available	Expenditure	<b>Unused Balance</b>
Trust Fund			
Research Institute Trust Fund (RITF)	1 141 395	299 911	841 484
Total 2010	1 141 395	299 911	841 484
Reserve Fund			
Equipment Replacement Fund	4 626 018	1 733 782	2 892 236
Total 2010	4 626 018	1 733 782	2 892 236
Special Fund			
IAEA Nobel Cancer and Nutrition Fun	d 71 722	45 419	26 303
<b>Total 2010</b>	71 722	45 419	26 303

## K. Investment in Commissary

- 39. The Vienna International Centre (VIC) Commissary was established following an agreement effective 1 April 1972 between the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the Republic of Austria. Pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding dated 31 March 1977 between the IAEA, the UN and UNIDO concerning the allocation of common services at the VIC, the responsibility for managing and operating the Commissary was assigned to the IAEA.
- 40. The initial capital investment was provided in equal shares from the accumulated funds of the IAEA and UNIDO Commissaries available as of 1 October 1979.
- 41. As at 31 December 2010 the investment in the Commissary by the IAEA was €808 879 (2009: €808 879) (Statement II).

## L. Assessed contributions receivable

42. Assessments outstanding by budget years amount to:

D 1 . W		2010	2000
Budget Year		2010	2009
1974-2002		4 547 819	4 873 495
2003		198 046	237 653
2004		187 020	223 854
2005		253 208	310 237
2006		265 003	323 592
2007		268 297	433 319
2008		242 052	4 521 022
2009		5 750 945	19 583 760
	(Schedule S1)	11 712 390	30 506 932
2010	(Schedule S1)	25 543 220	
<b>Total (State</b>	ment II)	37 255 610	30 506 932

## M. Accounts receivable - Other

## M.1. Regular Budget Fund

	2010	2009
Member States	7 656 085	5 677 904
United Nations, specialized agencies		
and other international organizations	4 408 739	1 375 768
Staff	4 981 587	2 937 367
Suppliers and contractors	881 981	434 993
Other accounts	1 046 901	3 649 790
Working Capital advances	291 621	3 717
Total (Statement II)	19 266 914	14 079 539

## **M.2.** Technical Cooperation Fund

	2010	2009
Member States	135 346	124 259
United Nations, specialized agencies		
and other international organizations	116 306	415 449
Staff	74 350	348 730
Suppliers and contractors	949 262	189 794
Funds with agents	713 288	3 487 551
Total (Statement II)	1 988 552	4 565 783

## N. Unliquidated Obligations - Regular Budget Fund

43. Unliquidated obligations relate to the budget years as follows:

	2010	2009
Current year	27 998 733	28 389 160
Prior years	1 695 342	823 747
2004 Unobligated balances (RBF)	250 314	250 314
2008 Unobligated balances (RBF)	2 392 348	7 785 876
Major Capital Investment Fund (MCIF)	625 708	-
Phase II Security Enhancement	1 890 194	2 685 978
AIPS Project (ERP)	602 448	2 075 732
Total (Statement II)	35 455 087	42 010 807

## O. Accounts payable - Other

## O.1. Regular Budget Fund

	2010	2009
Staff	380 285	3 529 968
Other accounts	639 690	300 055
Suppliers and contractors	44 406	17 765
Total (Statement II)	1 064 381	3 847 788

## O.2. Technical Cooperation Fund

	2010	2009	
Staff	25 219	47 460	
Other accounts	1 054	71 489	
Suppliers and contractors	-	8 779	
Total (Statement II)	26 273	127 728	

## P. Provision for Revaluation of Balance Sheet (Statement II)

The provision represents net unrealized gains from the revaluation of balance sheet accounts:

	2010	2009	
Regular Budget Fund	5 922 126	5 783 333	
Total (Statement II)	5 922 126	5 783 333	

<sup>44.</sup> The slight strengthening of the US dollar against the euro in 2010 led to a small increase in unrealized gains for the Regular Budget Fund in comparison with last year.

## Q. Contributions in kind

Contributions in kind made by Member States, United Nations, other international organizations and non-governmental sources are as follows:

			Thousands	s of euro			
	Member States		United Nations,	United Nations, international		Totals	
			organizatio	ons and			
			non-governme	ental sources			
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Fellowships	585	153	-	214	585	367	
Equipment and supplies	1 864	1 066	1	1	1 865	1 067	
Meetings and other items	1 390	1 404	-	-	1 390	1 404	
Human resources	13 353	11 410	163	145	13 516	11 555	
Total	17 192	14 033	164	360	17 356	14 393	

45. Because of their nature, the monetary value of these contributions are only estimates. More details can be seen in Annex A3 a, b and c (unaudited).

## R. Non-expendable equipment

46. The Agency's inventory records show the following net values for equipment:

	Thousands of euro		
	2010	2009	
Scientific and technical equipment	12 283	12 367	
Computer equipment	<b>761</b>	795	
Transportation equipment	147	176	
Total	13 191	13 338	

- 47. Equipment for inventory purposes are all items with an original purchase value of  $\bigcirc$  000 or more, and all sensitive items.
- 48. The amounts shown are the current values determined by reducing the original value over the estimated useful life of the items, which is three years for electronic data processing equipment and five years for all others.
- 49. The title to technical cooperation equipment is passed to the recipient upon delivery and is therefore not included in the Agency's property records.

## S. Contingent liability

50. At the end of 2010, there were seven appeals cases against the Agency with the Administrative Tribunal of International Labour Organisation (ILOAT) relating to various claims from staff members or former staff members. There are further six cases from staff members with the Joint Appeals Board. If these appeals are ultimately successful, it is estimated that the cost to the Agency would be approximately €1.7 million.

## T. Support for Technical Cooperation

- 51. Technical cooperation support arises from three sources: (a) the budget of Major Programme 6, Management of Technical Cooperation for Development, (b) the cost of scientific and technical expertise in support of the Technical Cooperation Programme provided by the other technical departments and (c) indirect programme and administrative support that is extremely difficult to quantify.
- 52. In the Budget for 2010 (GC(53)/RES/6), the amount of the regular budget dedicated to support the Technical Cooperation Programme for 2010 was estimated to be €30 741 357. This figure covers items (a) and (b) in the preceding paragraph.

	Appropriations	Expenditure			
Description of major programme	Adjusted /a	Disbursements	Unliquidated obligations	Total	Balance
Nuclear Power, Fuel Cycle and Nuclear Science	2 915 632	2 280 975	-	2 280 975	634 657
2. Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental	5 770 808	4 974 310	30 895	5 005 205	765 603
3. Nuclear Safety and Security	3 990 186	3 547 438	-	3 547 438	442 748
5. Policy, Management and Administration Services	457 651	469 122	560	469 682	(12 031)
6. Management of Technical Cooperation for Development	17 607 080	16 657 059	378 061	17 035 120	571 960
TOTAL	30 741 357	27 928 904	409 516	28 338 420	2 402 937

a/Revalued at the UN average rate of exchange of \$1.3248 to 1 euro.

## U. Health Insurance Premium Reserve Fund

53. Vanbreda International provides health insurance coverage to staff members. The Company is custodian of the Health Insurance Premium Reserve Fund. The purpose of the fund is to retain the excess of premiums paid over sums due to Vanbreda International and absorb future increases in premiums. The value of this fund as at 31 December 2010 was € 585 399. The fund is owned jointly by the Agency and the plan participants on the basis of their contributions.

## V. Separation benefits

54. Under the Staff Regulations and Staff Rules, staff members of the Agency are eligible to receive certain benefits on separation from the service of the Agency. Expenditure is recorded in the year in which the benefits are paid. Entitlements and the corresponding liabilities as at 31 December 2010 are estimated as follows:

		Thousands	of euro
		2010	2009
Repatriation	- grants	15 786	13 279
	- travel and household removal	11 273	9 866
Accrued annual leave		22 033	21 330
End-of-service allowances	3	21 296	21 850
Total		70 388	66 325

## W. Post-retirement benefits

- 55. Under the Staff Regulations and Staff Rules, retirees of the Agency are eligible to obtain medical insurance through the Agency. The Agency contributes towards the retiree's total premium and records the cost of this benefit in the year it is incurred. The Agency's share of the total premium was €2 185 644 (2009: €1 953 379).
- 56. However, in order to gain a better understanding of the financial dimensions of the Agency's liabilities for after-service health insurance, an independent consulting actuary was engaged in 2010 to carry out an actuarial valuation of post-retirement health insurance benefits for the period 2010. The valuation method used was the projected unit credit cost technique. The accrued liability is projected as at 31 December 2010 to be €106 million (2009: €169 million) based on a discount rate of 4.35% and medical cost increase of 3%/4.4%. The decrease in the accrued liability is due to a decrease in medical cost development from 6% (as disclosed in 2009) to 3%/4.4% for year 2010.

## X. Nuclear Security Fund

	Thousands	of euro
	Income	Expenditure
Australia	-	130
Belgium	228	-
Canada	147	698
Czech Republic	-	(5)
Denmark	399	393
Estonia	4	-
European Commission	4 010	3 656
Finland	50	36
France	303	215
Germany	-	1
Ireland	-	68
Italy	90	9
Japan	281	129
Korea, Republic of	-	143
Netherlands	500	64
Norway	625	17
New Zealand	42	28
Pakistan	-	8
Qatar	-	328
Russian Federation	1 146	-
Spain	350	168
Sweden	( 5)	57
United Kingdom	172	1 202
UNICRI	-	2
USA	8 048	6 650
Total	16 390	13 997

<sup>57.</sup> The figures above show the income and expenditures relating to the Nuclear Security Fund for the year 2010.

## Y. Provision for Phase II Security Enhancement

58. The status of the Provision for Phase II Security Enhancements for the year 2010 is as follows:

	Opening balance	Adjustments	Funds Available	Expenditure	Closing balance
Provision for Phase II - Security Enhancement	57 810	4 472	62 282	-	62 282
Total 2010	57 810	4 472	62 282	-	62 282

## **Z.** Provision for ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) system implementation

-	Spring Summer	Auditions	runds Available	Expenditure	Closing balance
Enterprise Resource Planning	4 910 621	-	4 910 621	4 426 485	484 136
Total 2010	4 910 621	-	4 910 621	4 426 485	484 136

<sup>59.</sup> The closing balance disclosed represents the amount available as at 31 December 2010 to implement the Agency-wide Information System for Programme Support (AIPS).

## **AA.Programme support costs**

	Opening balance	Income	Funds Available	Expenditure	Closing balance
Programme Support Costs	1 402 004	2 612 556	4 014 560	1 104 935	2 909 625
Total 2010	1 402 004	2 612 556	4 014 560	1 104 935	2 909 625

## **AB.** The Major Capital Investment Fund (MCIF)

60. The Major Capital Investment Fund (MCIF) was established as a Reserve Fund by the Board of Governors, in accordance with Financial Regulations 4.06, to support major infrastructural investments (GOV/2009/1). The status of the fund at the end of the year is as follows:

	Reserve for MCIF	Capital Portion in the Regular Budget	Total
Resources:			
Opening balance 1 January 2010	11 133 000	102 200	11 235 200
New income transferred from the 2010 Operational Portion in the	4 100 000	-	4 100 000
Regular Budget a/			
Total Resources	15 233 000	102 200	15 335 200
Expenditure:			
Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental Protection	619 604	-	619 604
Nuclear Verification	279 363	-	279 363
Policy, Management and Administration Services	3 081 733	102 200	3 183 933
Total Expenditure	3 980 700	102 200	4 082 900
Unallocated Balance 31 December 2010	11 252 300	-	11 252 300

a/ In accordance with the Agency's Programme and Budget document GC(54)/2 dated August 2010,  $\rightleftharpoons$ 1.1 million was transferred to the Major Capital Investment Fund to support major infrastructural investments.

## PART V

## **ANNEXES**

## **ANNEX A1**

## REGULAR BUDGET FUND

## ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL RESOURCES FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010 (expressed in euro)

				A	ctual resources		Excess (shortfall) of actual resources
	Budget Estimates a/	Adjustments	Adjusted Estimates	Receipts	Outstanding	Total	over adjusted budget estimates
Assessed contribution from Member States	298 432 802		298 432 802	272 889 582	25 543 220	298 432 802	-
Sub-total Difference, Actual/Average Exchange Rate	298 432 802 447 135		298 432 802 447 135	272 889 582	25 543 220	298 432 802	( 447 135)
Total assessments and revaluation	298 879 937		298 879 937	272 889 582	25 543 220	298 432 802	( 447 135)
Miscellaneous income							
(a) Reimbursable work for others (Appropriation 7)							
Data processing services Printing services Medical services Radiation protection and monitoring services Translation services Nuclear Fusion Journal Other Financial Services Laboratory services Marine Environmental Laboratory services Joint housing services Sub-total  (b) Attributable to specific programmes Publications of the Agency - INIS Products	229 408 894 793 796 576 104 235 173 606 144 655 84 950 250 000 60 000 - 2 738 223		229 408 894 793 796 576 104 235 173 606 144 655 84 950 250 000 60 000 - 2 738 223	317 248 808 915 546 914 106 861 173 772 180 935 157 890 352 788 31 381 29 629 2 706 333	188 759 142 994 - - - - - - 10 607 342 360	317 248 997 674 689 908 106 861 173 772 180 935 157 890 352 788 31 381 40 236 3 048 693	87 840 102 881 (106 668) 2 626 166 36 280 72 940 102 788 (28 619) 40 236
Publications of the Agency - other Laboratory income Amounts recoverable under safeguards agreements	375 000 200 000 185 000		375 000 200 000 185 000	184 728 264 081 129 208	- - -	184 728 264 081 129 208	( 190 272) 64 081 ( 55 792)
Other service income	2 000		2 000	-	-	-	( 2 000)
Sub-total  (c) Not attributable to specific programmes	782 000		782 000	594 668	-	594 668	( 187 332)
Investment and interest income Gain (Loss) on exchange of currencies	760 768		760 768 -	451 668 161 780	-	451 668 161 780	( 309 100) 161 780
Other	466 448		466 448	( 970 313)	-	( 970 313)	(1 436 761)
Sub-total	1 227 216		1 227 216	( 356 865)	-	( 356 865)	(1 584 081)
Sub-total (b) and (c)	2 009 216		2 009 216	237 803	-	237 803	(1 771 413)
Sub-total (a), (b) and (c)	4 747 439		4 747 439	2 944 136	342 360	3 286 496	(1 460 943)
TOTAL ASSESSMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME	303 627 376		303 627 376	275 833 718	25 885 580	301 719 298	(1 908 078)

a/ GC(53)RES/6

## ANNEX A2

## TECHNICAL COOPERATION FUND

## ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL RESOURCES IN THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

		Current year	2009	2008	2007	2006	Prior Years	Total
I.	<u>Estimates</u>							
	Targets	85 000 850	85 000 000	80 000 000	80 000 000	77 500 000	77 500 000	485 000 850
	Estimated other income	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	6 000 000
	Total allocations	86 000 850 a/	86 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	78 500 000	78 500 000	491 000 850
II.	Actuals							
1.	Voluntary contributions received for 2010	74 706 450		-	-	-	-	74 706 450
	2009	2 830 918	77 463 688					80 294 606
	2008 2007	3 200 800	1 082 251 6 400	75 800 617 244 963	- 76 491 085	-	-	76 886 068 76 743 248
	2007	775	4 251	48 050	1 328 177	72 100 543	-	73 481 796
	2005	-	4 231	25 465	370 416	957 789	68 866 684	70 220 354
	for prior years	2 045	43 962	70 888	12 510	362 375	3 083 934	3 575 714
	Total	77 544 188	78 600 552	76 189 983	78 202 188	73 420 707	71 950 618	455 908 236
2.	Assessed programme costs received	211 032	364 865	514 735	513 138	685 958	829 425	3 119 153
3.	National participation costs received	785 289	4 314 593	790 565	3 295 529	795 009	3 368 463	13 349 448
4.	Miscellaneous income	( 189 818)	491 058	2 591 330	2 103 111	1 866 269	486 257	7 348 207
Tota	al received	78 350 691	83 771 068	80 086 613	84 113 966	76 767 943	76 634 763	479 725 044
	_							
5.	Resources outstanding Voluntary contributions pledged and unpaid	3 746 283	45 100	2 924			895 361	4 689 668 b
	Assessed programme costs	3 740 203	43 100	2 324	-	-	2 239 876	2 239 876
	National participation costs	50 050	231 679	37 855	51 825	3 445	30 258	405 112
Tota	al outstanding	3 796 333	276 779	40 779	51 825	3 445	3 165 495	7 334 656
Tota	al actual resources	82 147 024	84 047 847	80 127 392	84 165 791	76 771 388	79 800 258	487 059 700
III.	Difference between actuals and estimates	(3 853 826)	(1 952 153)	( 872 608)	3 165 791	(1 728 612)	1 300 258	(3 941 150)

a/ GC(53)/RES/7. b/ Schedule S8. c/ Schedule S9a. d/ Schedule S9b.

ANNEX A3a

RESOURCES MADE AVAILABLE TO THE AGENCY
BY MEMBER STATES FOR 2010 INCLUDING CONTRIBUTIONS IN CASH AND IN KIND
(expressed in euro)

			CASH	Ī		IN KINI	D a/ (Note Q)	
Member State	TOTAL	Assessed contributions Schedule S1	Voluntary contributions (Technical Cooperation Fund)	Voluntary contributions and other extrabudgetary resources	Type II Fellowships	Equipment and supplies	Meetings and other items	Human resources
Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of Albania	3 844 100 945	2 476	630 3 672	73 500	1 1	1 1	1 1	738 8 788
Argenta Angonina Argentina	398 220 22 674 1 367 972	203 / 10 7 454 801 191	48 302 15 220 196 944	173 588		65		104 213
Armenia Australia	22 389 6 608 924	5 036 5 193 377	1 297		1 1	1 127		16 056 327 522
Austria Azerbaijan Bahrain	3 141 664 17 903 118 089	2 577 071 12 544 95 760	508 003			2 678	6 392	53 912 5 359 15 937
Bangladesh Belarus Belgium	39 677 78 526 4 113 609	24 629 47 200 3 232 339	5 758 11 192 369 938	228 300		202		9 290 20 134 282 830
Belize Benin	2 518 2 943	2 518 2 486	1 1				1 1	457
Bolivia Bosnia and Herzegovina Botswana Brazil	113 638 19 569 47 962 2 939 305	15 106 14 906 32 704 2 160 039	3 749 8 409 538 074	98 532		160		914 6 849 208 773
Burkina Faso Burundi Cambodia Cameroon	110 899 15 860 2 486 2 486 62 454	5 007 2 486 2 486 2 2 486 2 2 660	15 22 / 1 - - 5 822 1 877 060	31 004		000		10 853
Central African Republic Chad Chile Chile Chile	8 879 5 302 563 628 8 706 025	2 486 2 486 2 486 397 043 6 539 488	107 903	29 281		- - 91 34 099		6 393 2 816 2 9 310 3 4 4 7 4 5
Continua Congo Costa Rica Côte d'Ivoire Croatia Cuba	10 071 10 071 82 614 51 113 194 945 199 921	2 994 2 994 78 048 22 656 118 567 130 919	5 822	47 500 - 8 385 20 061 -		1		7 077 4 566 14 250 56 298 22 059
Cyprus Czech Republic Democratic Republic of the Congo Demnark Dominican Republic	156 812 1 210 378 11 160 2 988 900 57 906	128 004 682 264 7 455 2 141 289 57 906	26 525 173 684 2 007 409 115	149 516 1 698 399 129		. 8	4 033	2 283 200 797 - 39 367

679 784 24 921 17 098 4 379 106 170 152 272 6 906 30 095 33 120 609 59 391 761 7 612 457 1 522 259 895 844 728 5 386 609 148 755 11 591 169 215 30 804 667 007 23 313 17 773 2 338 1 674 1 584 921 29 393 54 233 3 875 24 507 286 076 Human resources Meetings and other items -11 415 1 600 -6 392 6392 IN KIND a/ (Note Q) 308 5 264 2 347 Equipment and supplies - 89 - 42 278 - 670 2 291 533 19 -651 19 923 1 014 Type II Fellowships contributions and other extrabudgetary resources 7 423 333 55 563 77 752 329 822 1 654 159 91 7 430 239 642 10 109 69 471 080 754 103 950 6 627 473 218 148 894 71 975 37350 100 000 610 787 Voluntary 10 712 565 6 947 16 993 Voluntary contributions (Technical Cooperation 1 724 329 547 3 499 689 5 352 1 767 4 122 587 2 587 371 292 9 056 242 128 139 674 6 962 1 359 194 110 224 373 9 595 -146 816 21 848 277 499 12 699 50 069 8 836 3 805 671 97 890 33 864 5 644 3 081 226 CASH 7 356 1 645 445 18 393 779 20 584 7 452 24 931 846 10 070 1 622 871 78 048 4 995 3 006 12 488 593 614 109 095 1 086 190 25 176 49 439 936 27 597 69 845 25 453 5 896 771 533 140 2 518 41 993 50 215 211 163 47 835 2 479 37 264 388 673 435 557 35 195 299 997 236 091 82 988 2 500 2 486 150 715 30 000 Assessed contributions Schedule S1 15 012 874 132 690 9 004 377 777 019 20 664 60 553 10 602 2 324 362 24 094 805 31 322 9 828 31 398 073 37 669 2 011 329 82 427 4 995 4 952 12 488 963 507 142 534 570 787 18 480 454 26 850 69 161 425 119 500 141 071 63 523 469 517 48 596 10 091 657 344 600 163 827 490 51 157 582 371 408 885 95 365 3 171 6 361 682 304 35 644 TOTAL Lebanon Lesotho Liberia Libyan Arab Jamahiriya Liechtenstein Indonesia Iran, Islamic Republic of Kenya Korea, Republic of Member State Egypt El Salvador Eritrea Estonia Kyrgyzstan Guatemala Holy See Honduras Hungary Iceland Kazakhstan Ethiopia Finland France Ecuador Germany Italy Jamaica Georgia Ghana Greece Iraq Ireland Kuwait Gabon Haiti Israel

# ANNEX A3a (continued)

			CASH			IN KIND	O a/ (Note Q)	
Member State	TOTAL	Assessed contributions Schedule S1	Voluntary contributions (Technical Cooperation Fund)	Voluntary contributions and other extrabudgetary resources	Type II Fellowships	Equipment and supplies	Meetings and other items	Human resources
Lithuania	137 962	75 257	19 859	(3.751)	1			46 597
Luxembourg	305 456	247 446	51 648				•	6 3 6 2
Madagascar	19 591	4 970	1 294	2 109				11 218
Malawi	17 211	2 485	647	14 079	,	,	,	,
Malaysia	623 648	464 160	111 063	7 620	1 903	38		38 864
Mali	10 441	2 489	647	٠	٠	,	,	7 305
Malta	50 293	40 583	9 710					
Marshall Islands	2 531	2 531	1		,	,	,	,
Mauritania, Islamic Republic of	9 2 2 6	2 499						7 0 7 7
Mauritius	70 465	27 327	6 480	27 527	1			9 131
Mexico	5 665 112	5 565 579	- p/		1 522	46		97 965
Monaco	1 666 319		1 767	286 915	,	,	1 312 883	55 705
Mongolia	31 226	2 495	209					28 124
Montenegro	50 247	2 471	564	37 459			2 740	7 013
Morocco	159 849	100 488	25 262		865	1		33 234
Mozambique	2 463	2 463				,	,	
Myanmar	16 269	12 317	3 038		,	,	•	914
Namibia	280 96	14 906	3 534	61 262				16 385
Nepal	7 455	7 455	/q					1 1
Netherlands	7 355 697	5 466 634	1 094 848	673 504		148		120 563
New Zealand	848 116	741 054		64 002	,	,		43 060
Nicaragua	6 138	4 924	1 2 1 4					
Niger	1 149 696	2 451	591	1 140 261	,	,	•	6 3 9 3
Nigeria	2 002 067	115 812	457 100	1862987		- 25		23 268
Ivolway	0.320.001	7 201 000	437 198	106 000 0		0/		91 234
Oman	271 832	206 639	41 234	. :	•	. '	6 3 9 2	17 567
Pakistan Balan	698 206	142 931	34 620	421 243		69		99 347
Faldu Danama	52 070	2 300	1 1		•			, , , , ,
Paraguay	12 588	12 588						-
Peru	205 903	188 826						17 077
Philippines	258 283	188 133	50 686		•		•	19 464
Poland	1 683 568	1 199 900	284 511	135 905		19	,	63 233
Portugal	1 703 280	1 430 655	255 971					16 654
Qatar	279 393	249 847					6856	19 957
Republic of Moldova	3 155	2 514	641		1	,		1
Romania	272 967	168 659	43 339		481			60 488
Russian Federation	9 387 856	3 488 403	604 990	4 770 906	1 775	133	2 283	519 366
Saudi Arabia	2 339 608	1 836 533	454 716				6856	38 770
Senegai	221 21	27.7.7	1001			1		(10.00

ANNEX A3a (continued)

(continued) **ANNEX A3a** 

			САЗН			IN KIND a/	) a/ (Note Q)	
Member State	TOTAL	Assessed contributions Schedule S1	Voluntary contributions (Technical Cooperation Fund)	Voluntary contributions and other extrabudgetary resources	Type II Fellowships	Equipment and supplies	Meetings and other items	Human resources
Serbia	8 223 758	49 888	12 138	8 151 000	1			10 732
Seychelles	6 230	5 052	1 178					
Sierra Leone	10 831	2 486	/q 699	1 055	•		•	6 621
Singapore Slovakia	1 205 288 555 333	1 007 898	196 743 35 932	231 149		38		609
Clarami	300 710	767 357	20 400			40		00005
Siovema Courth Africa	1 110 064	282 332	36 469			44		20 020
South Amica Spain	12 038 586	8 747 970	194 226	1 910 585	113 836	259		358 275
Sri Lanka	244 827	37.772	8 861	196 976		ì ·		1218
Sudan	46 922	24 850	6 4 6 9					15 603
Sweden	4 147 007	3 187 452	711 409	18 076		2 602	6 849	220 619
Switzerland	4 452 819	3 533 675	696 871	21 207	21 307	704		179 055
Syrian Arab Republic	62 693	38 162	10 340			19		14 172
Tajikistan	8 167	2 501	592					5 074
Thailand	715 779	442 173	101 028	104 020		19	,	68 239
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	16 581	12 586	3 234	,	,	,		761
Tunisia	136 491	76 360	20 885	10 874				28 372
Turkey	1 232 949	919 182	224 604	72 053	190		•	16 920
Uganda	21 655	7 534	2 088	1 052				10 981
Ukraine	432 957	107 950	27 303	158 000				139 704
United Arab Emirates	1 181 130	881 822	176 608		•	•	3 196	119 504
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	24 115 868	19 306 933	3 768 743	266 677	3 805	2 622	•	467 088
United Republic of Tanzania	24 894	14 979	4 024	- 000	- 1000	1 100		5 891
United states of America	91 215	67 211	17 031 000	70 669 75	19/ 066			6 081
Uzbekistan	37 804	19 956	4 855	1	1			12 993
Venezuela. Bolivarian Republic of	495 093	484 582	1					10 511
Vietnam	106 425	57 699	15 855		ı	•	,	32 871
Yemen	132 069	17 406		114 359				304
Zambia	4 115	2 473	699	364				609
Zimbabwe	217 770	20 149	5 188	177 468	1	1	1	14 965
GRAND TOTAL	453 878 979	298 432 802	50 776 534	78 477 780	585 704	1 863 968	1 380 745	13 352 806
GRAID LOLAN	/#/ DID 00F	MUD MOT DOM	-0001160	007/110/		7007 700 1	3F1 /00 T	0.00 0.00

a/ Shown at actual cost where known, otherwise estimates provided by the Agency's technical Divisions and/or cost as provided by Member States for equipment and supplies; costs as provided by Member States for meetings and other items and for cost-free experts estimated salary cost of \$200 per day plus cost of travel and subsistence provided by Member States converted to euro at 31 December 2010 UNROE.

b/ Pledged/paid a contribution in 2010 relating to 2009: Mexico - €303 224; Nepal - €1 767; Sierra Leone - €669; relating to 2008: Sierra Leone - €630; relating to 2007: Sierra Leone - €630.

## ANNEX A3b

RESOURCES MADE AVAILABLE TO THE AGENCY

# BY DONORS OTHER THAN MEMBER STATES FOR 2010 INCLUDING CONTRIBUTIONS IN CASH AND IN KIND

(expressed in euro)

		CASH		IN KIND	D (Note Q)	
	TOTAL	Voluntary contributions and other extrabudgetary resources	Type II Fellowships	Equipment and supplies	Meetings and other items	Human resources
	Ţ					,
Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM)	91//	1 (1	ı	914	1	6 802
Commission of the European Communities (EC)	11482150	11 458 457	ı	ı	1	23 693
European Union (EU)	8 663	ı	1	1	ı	8 663
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)	1 233 648	1 230 606			1	3 042
Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC)	275 740	275 740	ı	1	1	ı
International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP)	3 011			1	1	3 011
International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU)	8 613	1		1	1	8 613
Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI)	102 275	102 275	ı	1	1	ı
OPEC Fund for International Development	111 784	111 784	ı	ı	,	ı
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)	3 032	ı	ı	ı	,	3 032
Regional Organization for the Protection of the Marine Environment	36 309	36 309	1	1	ı	ı
Roche African Research Foundation	986 841	986 841	ı	1	ı	ı
United Nations (UN)	128 728	115 912	1	ı	,	12 816
United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)	678 132	676 123	ı	ı	1	2 009
United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)	138 578	138 578	ı	ı	1	ı
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	2 143	1	ı	ı	1	2 143
United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)	1 826	ı	ı	ı		1 826
World Food Programme (WFP)	3 745	1	ı	ı	1	3 745
World Health Organization (WHO)	23 548	1	ı	ı	,	23 548
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)	7 752	1	ı	ı	1	7 752
Other sources	199 531	147 305	ı	ı	1	52 226
TATOT	15 443 765	15 279 930		914		162 921

R E S O U R C E S  $\,$  M A D E  $\,$  A V A I L A B L E  $\,$  T O  $\,$  T H E  $\,$  A G E N C Y B Y MAJOR PROGRAMME FOR 2010 INCLUDING CONTIRIBUTIONS IN CASH AND IN KIND

ANNEX A3c

(expressed in euro)

	(cypressed in emo)	,				
		CASH		INKIN	N D (Note Q)	
		Voluntary	Type II	Equipment	Meetings and	Human resources
		contributions and	fellowships	and supplies	other items	
	TOTAL	other extrabudgetary				
		resources a/				
Major Programme 1 - Nuclear Power, Fuel Cycle & Nuclear Science						
Overall Management, Coordination and Common Activities	918 810	918 810				
Nuclear Power	5 792 949	3 752 716	481		29 832	2 009 920
Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Material Technologies	2 118 615	630 271	100			1 488 344
Capacity Building and Nuclear Knowledge Maintenance for Sustainable Energy Development	1 095 1 79	769 697	20.07	10 408	- 203	1 077 060
Total Major Duarramma 1	11 874 604	6 437 088	925 02	10.408	7/C 9t	5 360 328
Total Major Frederick	11 8/ 4 664	000 /24 0	OCC OC	OAL AT	177 OC	2 300 340
Major Programme 2 - Nuclear Techniques for Development and Environmental Protection						
Overall Management, Coordination and Common Activities and Management of the						
Coordinated Research Activities	857 271	847 495		•		922 6
Food and Agriculture	1 597 916	1 253 988	186 375			157 553
Human Health	2 959 446	2 318 511	287 956	12 556	26 143	314 280
Water Resources	23 759		6 991	•	•	16 768
Environment	2 054 010	586 071	31 703	400	1 305 461	130 375
Radioisotope Production and Radiation Technology	27 148	(4109)	2 803			28 454
Total Major Programme 2	7 519 550	5 001 956	515 828	12 956	1 331 604	657 206
Major Programme 3 - Nuclear Safety and Security						
Enhancing the Global Nuclear Safety and Security Regime: Fostering Safety and Security						
Infrastructure and Improving Capacity Building, Strengthening Communication and Knowledge						
Management	5 386 636	2 595 391				2 791 245
Incident and Emergency Preparedness and Response	1 146 025	637 403		99 573		409 049
Safety of Nuclear Installations	8 335 823	8 263 587		•	2 283	69 953
Radiation and Transport Safety	2 594 836	449 770	28 854			2 116 212
Management of Radioactive Waste	2 790 832	1 406 198	10 466	174 436	19 634	1 199 732
Total Major Programme 3	35 564 874	28 455 413	39 320	369 225	21 917	666 829 9
Major Programme 4 - Nuclear Verification						
Overall Management, Coordination and Common Activities	92 2 66	922 66		•		
Safeguards	17 058 277	16 586 263				472 014
Total Major Programme 4	17 158 053	16 686 039	,	1		472 014
Major Programme 5 - Policy, Management and Administration						
Policy, Management and Administration Services	3 354 166	1 689 737		1 472 293		192 136
Total Major Programme 5	3 354 166	1 689 737		1 472 293	•	192 136
Major Programme 6 - Management of Technical Cooperation for Development  Management of the Technical Cooperation for Development	153 284	(1850)	•			155 134
Total Major Programme 6	153 284	(1850)	•	•		155 134
GRAND TOTAL	75 624 531	58 268 383	585 704	1 864 882	1 389 745	13 515 817

a/ Excludes Technical Cooperation Extrabudgetary Projects amounting to €35 488 827.

